#### Programs, Projects, and Operations Subcommittees Meeting Minutes March 11,2003

A meeting of the Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District's Programs, Projects, and Operations Subcommittee was held at the Natural Resources Center, 8901 South 154'' Street. Omaha, Nebraska, on March 11, 2003. Public notice of the meeting was posted at all District offices **and** published in the Omaha World-Herald on March 6,2003.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson John Conley at 7:00 p.m.

#### **OUORUM CALL**

Quorum call was taken. The following subcommittee members were in attendance.

Subcommittee	Subcommittee	Other Directors	
Members Present	Members Absent	Present	Others in Attendance
Conley (John)		Conley (Fred)	P-MRNRD Staff:
Fowler		Connealy	Marlin Petermann
Jansen		Gardner	Dick Sklenar
Neary		Nichols	Paul Woodward
Tesar		Thompson	Terry Schumacher
			Adam Weimer
			Trent Heiser
			Jerry Herbster
			Ralph Puls
			Pat Teer
			Penney Zobel
			Paul Peters(Legal Counsel)
			Ron Tekippe
			John Kuti
······································			Sid Sidner
			Ed & Susan Schwartz
			Richard & Jan Potter
			Gil Gienger
			Jim Zavadil
			Larry Kusek
			Anita Kusek
			Laurie Carrette Zook
			Wayne & Shari Talbert
			Jon Kirk

#### **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**

It was moved by Tesar, seconded by Neary that agenda item 4 be split into two parts and considered separately. Roll call was taken on the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Voting Yea:Conley (John), Fowler, Jansen, Neary, TesarVoting Nay:NoneAbstaining:NoneAbsent:None

#### RURAL WATER FEASIBILITY REPORT FOR EASTERN WASHINGTON COUNTY:

Project Manager Dick Sklenar recognized the members of the Steering Committee who were present at the meeting. He continued by introducing Chris Koenig from HGM who discussed the Rural Water Feasibility Report that his firm had conducted (a copy is attached to the file copy of the minutes). His presentation included HGM's conclusions and recommendations including the proposed cost for the project and its feasibility. Dick Sklenar also noted that it was crucial that all residents and landowners in the project area be contacted and advised of the proposed project.

There was discussion and questions from the Subcommittee members directed to the consultants.

◆ It was moved by Jansen and seconded by Neary that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that implementation of a Rural Water System in Eastern Washington County be pursued as outlined in the Feasibility Study Report prepared by HGM Associates and that the deadline for accepting applications for service and hookup fees be established no later than June 30.2003.

Roll call was taken on the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Voting Yea:Conley (John), Fowler, Jansen, Neary TesarVoting Nay:NoneAbstaining:NoneAbsent:None

• It was moved by Jansen and seconded by Tesar that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that contents of the study regarding the extension of the existing distribution system south of Nashville along Hwy **75** be accepted and implemented.

Roll call was taken on the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Voting Yea:	Conley (John), Fowler, Jansen, Neary Tesar
Voting Nay:	None
Abstaining:	None
Absent:	None

#### AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR PHASE 2 IMPROVEMENTS/DAKOTA COUNTY RURAL WATER

P-MRNRD staff member Dick Sklenar showed those present a map of the proposed project area and explained what the proposal entailed. He continued by handing out a summary of the bids received.

 It was moved by Neary and seconded by Jansen that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that the General Manager be authorized to execute a contract of \$245,332.25 with Mark Albenesius, Inc., for Phase 2 distribution system improvements for the District's Dakota County Rural Water System.

Roll call was taken on the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Voting Yea:Conley (John), Fowler, Jansen, Neary, TesarVoting Nay:NoneAbstaining:NoneAbsent:None

#### BIDS FOR CHALCO HILLS BOULEVARD IMPROVMENET PROJECT:

Chalco Hills Park Superintendent Jerry Herbster reviewed the bids received for the project. He advised the subcommittee that the bids were less than the engineers estimate and that the cost for concrete or asphalt for the road surface were nearly identical.

There was discussion among the Directors regarding individual items in the bid package and the possibility of those items being done by P-MRNRD staff.

It was moved by Jansen that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that the low bid submitted by Luxa Construction for \$227,759.08 be awarded the contract for the Chalco Hills Recreation Area Boulevard Improvement Project.

The motion died due to lack of a second.

Chairman Conley noted that the issue would he sent, with no recommendation by the Subcommittee, for the Board to consider at the March 13,2003 meeting.

#### DIRECTORS' E-MAIL

Trent Heiser explained the proposal, its advantages and disadvantages and the cost to implement the proposal. There was considerable discussion among the Directors regarding the subject.

It was moved by Tesar and seconded by Neary that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that the present system be retained and that Directors may have their e-mail address or phone number listed on the Districts web page if they so desire.

Roll call was taken on the motion. The motion carried on a vote of 5-0.

Voting Yea:Conley (John), Fowler, Jansen, Neary, TesarVoting Nay:NoneAbstaining:NoneAbsent:None

#### OTHER ITEMS OF INTEREST: None.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Being no further business, the meeting adjourned by acclamation at 8:15 p.m.

/pt/com/ppo/ppo mar min

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: PPO Sub-committee

**FROM:** Dick Sklenar

**SUBJECT:** Rural Water Feasibility Study Report for Eastern Washington County

DATE: March 3.2003

Attached to this memorandum is the completed Rural Water Feasibility Study Report for a 48 square mile area in eastern Washington County. Their report, prepared by HGM Associates, indicates that approximately 80 percent of the available households in the study area will need to sign up in order for rural water to be available for the entire area.

The study indicates that existing hookup fees and water rates that are currently being used by the existing Washington County Rural Water system will be implemented. Rural residents who did not contribute financially to the Rural Water Feasibility Study Report will be asked to contribute an additional \$100.00 towards the hookup fee. The report also states that water will be purchased from the city of Blair.

The proposed water rate schedule for the study area is as follows:

USAGE	CHARGE
Basic monthly charge	\$13.75
First 10,000 gallons	\$3.95 per thousand
Next 10,000 gallons	\$2.85 per thousand
Next 30,000 gallons	\$1.95 per thousand
All over 50,000 gallons	\$1.44 per thousand

The proposed hookup fee for single family residences and private water systems are as follows:

DESCRIPTION	HOOKUP FEE
Single family residence (3/4" meter)	\$2,600.00*
Single family dwelling (1" meter)	\$5,000.00
Country Estates Connection	\$85,869.97
Eagelview Connection	\$64,596.45
Pioneers Hills Connection	\$32,646.06
SID #1 Connection	\$36,839.80
Lakeland Connection	\$307,724.66
NOTE. \$2,500.00 if resident contributed toward f	easibility study.

Management recommends that the Sub-committee recommend to the Board of Directors that implementation of a Rural Water System in Eastern Washington County be pursued as outlined in the Feasibility Study Report prepared **by** HGM Associated and that the deadline for accepting applications for service and hookup fees be established as no later than June 30,2003.

Directors have copies of the referenced feasibility study.

Copy of feasibility study is also attached to file copy of the minutes.

#### MEMO TO: Programs, Projects & Planning Subcommittee

## **SUBJECT:** Attachment for Washington County Rural Water Study

#### **DATE:** 3/5/03

#### FROM: Dick Sklenar

Not to be omitted is a minor secondary feasibility study on extending a distribution main along Hwy. 75 just South of Nashville (in Washington County). Several residents expressed interest in having the NRD review the economics of extending the existing distribution system. As a result, <u>this was included in the original scope of the feasibility study when HGM Assoc. was hired.</u>

Management recommends that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board of Directors that contents of the study regarding the extension of the existing distribution system south of Nashville along Hwy. 75 be accepted and implemented.



February 26,2003

Mr. Dick Sklenar Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District 8901 South 154" Street Omaha, NE 68138-3621

Subject: Washington County Rural Water Study Existing System Extension Feasibility

Dear Mr. Sklenar:

The Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District (P-MRNRD) is considering **an** extension of their existing system to serve residences along **a** portion of US Highway **75** in Douglas County. The following is a review of the findings, location drawing, opinion of probable project costs, and recommendation for implementation of the project.

<u>Study Location</u>. The study area is approximately **5** miles south of Fort Calhoun, immediately south of the Washington/Douglas County line. The P-MRNRD currently has a 6' diameter **main** on the north end of the area with a **3**'' diameter **main** extended approximately 600 feet south of the 6' main along the east side of Highway **75**. A 2'' diameter **main** is located on the south end which is fed by a 4'' main. A 6'' diameter **main** was constructed on the west side of Highway **75** to serve a new user, **as an** extension of **the** 2'' line on the south.

**Use:** Applications. Four residences contacted the P-MRNRD individually about connecting to the existing system. The P-MRNRD has not yet contacted each of the residences in the study area to determine the level of interest.

Potential Users. A site review was made of the study area to determine the number and location of the existing residences within the study area. All of the residences are located on the east side of Highway 75. The residences are shown on the attached Exhibit A. Exhibit A also shows the location of the existing water mains and the proposed water mains to serve the new users. A total of 21 potential new users were identified.

<u>Proposed System</u>. The proposed extension would require a 3" main connecting the existing 6" mains located on the **north** and south end of the area. Smaller 2" diameter branch mains would be constructed to serve the residences not abutting the proposed 3" main. The encasement for the 3" main under Highway 75 would be bored. End of line

#### Mr. Dick Sklenar Existing System Extension February 26, 2003 Page 2

cleanouts **will** he installed at the end of the branch lines. Isolation valves would be installed on the branch lines at the connection to the **3'' main**.

<u>Increased Main Sizing</u>. The P-MRNRD is considering increasing the size of the proposed new main that would connect the two 6'' mains from 3'' to 6''. The connection of the two existing 6'' mains with a 6'' main will create a loop within the system that will improve the hydraulics and operational flexibility of the system.

<u>System Pressure</u>. The existing system is pressurized by pumps that supply the system. The pump station is located on  $60^{\text{th}}$  Street, less than a mile from the proposed extension. Based on the discharge pressure at the pump station, the minimum pressure in the study area will be 60 psi.

<u>Connection Fee</u>. The current connection fee for new **users** in the area is \$2,500.00. The Capital Facilities Charge for MUD would also apply to these new users.

<u>User Rates and Water Usage</u>. The current user rates for the existing system are attached as Exhibit **D**. The water usage rate used in the feasibility analysis is based on the average **monthly** usage of the existing **system**.

Operation and Maintenance Costs and Water Rates. The operation and maintenance costs (O&M) and water costs for the proposed system extension are **based** upon the current costs of the existing system.

<u>Preliminary Opinion of Probable Project Costs</u>. The Preliminary Opinion of Project Costs are attached as exhibits. Exhibit B is the Opinion of **Costs** for the proposed system extension sized to serve the **21** potential users. Exhibit C is the Opinion of **Costs** for the proposed system extension with the **main** increased in size as discussed previously. **An** additional **600** feet of **main** would be installed parallel to the existing **3'' main** on the north end to allow direct connection to the existing **6''** main. The opinion of costs does include the MUD Capital Facilities Charge for each resident.

Total Opinion of Costs with <b>3'' Main</b> :	\$  95,904.80
Total Opinion of <b>Costs</b> with 6'' Main:	\$115,006.30
Additional Costs for Increasing Main Size:	\$ 19,101.50



#### Mr. Dick Sklenar Existing System Extension February 26,2003 Page 3

<u>Feasibility Analysis</u>. The financial viability or feasibility of the proposed extension is based on several factors including the initial project costs, project financing, connection fees, and income from water sales. The **analysis** is based on the construction costs for serving the potential users without the increased **main** size. Financing for a portion of the project costs is calculated at a 5% interest rate for 20 years. Based on the criteria listed, the feasibility of the proposed extension is determined by the number of households that connect to the proposed extension. The following feasibility **analysis** is based on the minimum number of households necessary for the extension to be financially viable:

Estimated Project <b>Cost:</b> Less Connection Fees:	<u>10 Households</u> <b>\$70,563.55</b> <u>25,000.00</u>
Total Amount Financed:	\$53,874.20
Annual Principal and Interest: Annual O&M: Annual Water Costs:	\$ 3,656.02 763.19 <u>845.25</u>
Total Annual Costs:	\$ 5,264.45
Total Annual Income:	\$ 5,517.60
Net Annual Income:	\$ 253.15

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

The extension of the existing system to serve the houses in the study area is feasible, provided a sufficient number of households are willing to commit to the project. The financing rate is very attractive at this time and, as shown by the Feasibility Analysis, the project can generate sufficient funds to cover the construction and operation costs of the project with only 10 households. Additional households would be more desirable to improve the viability of the Project.

We recommend proceeding with the final design of the project after a minimum of 10 customers make the financial commit to the PMRNRD. Depending on the locations of the signers, portions of the extension may not need to be built immediately which would reduce the project costs.



Mr. Dick Sklenar Existing System Extension February 26,2003 Page 4

We recommend contacting all of the households in the study area to present the information and provide the opportunity make the **financial** commitment. If the **minimum** 10 households make the commitment, we recommend proceeding with the final design. We recommend that the current connection fee of \$2,500.00 and the current water rate schedule, **shown as** Exhibit D, be used for the proposed extension.

Sincerely, *HGM Associates Inc.* 

serie Chris J. Koenig, P.E.

Attachments





	Preliminary Op	oinion of Pro	obable I	Proj	ect Costs		
_							
	Exist	ting System	Extensio	on			
	]						
	Ŭ	n County Ru			~		
	Papio-Missouri	<b>River</b> Natur	al Resou	irce	s District		
	F	<b>ebruary</b> 24,	2003				
					- ·	1	
	Description	Quantity			Price		Total
1	3" PVC	3,900		\$	3.00	\$	11,700.00
2	2" PVC	4,200		\$	2.60	\$	10,920.00
3	3" Valve		EA	\$	450.00	\$	450.00
4	2" Valve		EA	\$	300.00	\$	600.00
5	End of Line Cleanout		EA	\$	700.00	\$	1,400.00
6	Highway Crossing, 6" Encasement		EA	\$	3,250.00	\$	3,250.00
7	Road Crossing, No Encasement	5	EA	\$	1,200.00	\$	6,000.00
8	Meter Settings, Residential		EA	\$	900.00	\$	18,900.00
9	Service Line	2,100	LF	\$	2.50	\$	5,250.00
10	Paved Driveway Crossing	1	EA	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
11	Tap Existing 6"	1	ËA	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.00
			· · · · ·			I — —	(1.720.00
	Subtotal Construction		·			3	61,720.00
	Contingency (5%):					\$	3,086.00
-	MUD Capit   Fi siliti Charge	21	EA	\$	849.00	3	17,829.00
	Easement Acqu'siti (3%)					S	1.851.60
	Legal (0.5%)	<u> </u>	1			\$	308.60
	Engineering (15%)	-				\$	₹258.00
	Construction Interes. (3					\$	1,851.60
	TOTAL POTIMATED DOALEOT	COST		-		<u> </u>	95,9 80
	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT					3	יס איפין

4

•	Preliminary Opini	on of Proba	ble Cor	istru	ction Cost	5	
_	Existing S	ystem Exten	sion Ov	ersi	zed		
	Washingto	n County Ru	ral Wat	er St	tudy		
	Papio-Missouri	<b>River</b> Natur	al <b>Rescu</b>	ræ	B District		
	F	ebruary 24,	2003				
No.		Quantity			Price		Total
1	6" PVC	4,500		\$	5.00	\$	22,500.00
2	2" PVC	4,200		\$	2.60	\$	10,920.00
3	6" Valve	1	EA	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
4	2" Valve	2	EA	\$	300.00	\$	600.00
5	End of Line Cleanout	2	EA	\$	700.00	\$	1,400.00
6	Highway Crossing, 10" Encasement	1	EA	\$	3,750.00	\$	3,750.00
7	Road Crossing, No Encasement	5	EA	\$	1,200.00	\$	6,000.00
8	Meter Settings, Residential	21	EA	\$	900.00	\$	18,900.00
9	Service Line	2,100	LF	\$	2.50	\$	5,250.00
10	Paved Driveway Crossing	1	EA	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
11	Tap Existing 6"	2	EA	\$	3,000.00	\$	6,000.00
	Subtotal Construction					\$	76,820.00
	Contingency (5%):		[	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$	3,841.00
	Easement Acquisition (3%)					\$	2,304.60
	MUD Capital Facilities Charge	21	EA	\$	849.00	\$	17,829.00
	Legal (0.5%)					\$	384.10
	Engineering (15%)					\$	11,523.00
	Construction Interest (3%)					\$	2,304.60
	LESIIVIALED.	COST				\$	115,006.30

.

\_



#### Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District

Washington County Rural Water Supply System

#### Water Rate Schedule

Effective lune **1,1997**.

Basic Monthly Charge

. .

١

First 10,000 Gallons

Next 10,000 Gallons

Next 30,000 Gallons

All Over 50,000 Gallons

\*Plus 5% Nebraska State Sales Tax

\$13,75\*

\$ 3.95 / 1,000 Gallons\*

\$ 2.85 / 1,000 Gallons\*

\$ 1.95/ 1,000 Gallons\*

**\$ 1.44** / 1,000 Gallons\*

# Builder's plans depend on rural water system

#### By Todd von Kampen

#### WORLD-HERALD STAFF WRITER

**BLATR,** Neb. — Washington County officials say a developer must tie into a proposed ruralwater system to proceed with his planned residential subdivision three miles south of here.

That position, ratified Tuesday by the County Board, confirmed growing concerns about water supplies for acreages in the increasingly popular hills between Blair and **Oneha**.

It pleased neighbors of developer Mitch McGowan's 120-acre tract, but left McGowan unhappy that his plans depend on whether the Papio-Missouri Natural Resources District Lidds a

il ater system

Even if the Natural Resources D ri ceeds with constructie "we couldn't sell any lots for the years. I de r't know how that "I G w said Wee

County s ip 18, 7 10 rejected McGowan's initial 31-lot pl D 10, gave 7-0 approval Tuesd iy ravi: d24 I tpla But they adopted a nditior it thid by th c s Plan

ning Commissio h the ub li vision's water supply must c from the district, 1 v all

The intrict which already supplies vate o Fort Calhoun and southeast Washington County, will receive an engineer's report March 13 on whether it's feasible to build a new system south of Blair and west of Fort Calhoun.

The City of Blair probably would supply such a system, on which talksbegan in 2001.

If a system is feasible, the Natural Resources District must have hookup fees from a minimum number of homes to proceed, said special-projects coordinator Dick Sklenar. Construction would take two to three years.

Sklenar said the district likely will outline the engineer's report for the County Board on March 25, the s nt day a preliminary pla for 1 c( n's subdivisi t is expected to reach the board.

• The Planning Commission will review that plat March 6, exactly a month after it drew about 100 people to its first meeting on McGowan's new plan.

McGowan said be hasn't had any test wells drilled on his land, but it's in an area with good wells 250 to 300 feet deep. Professional well-drillers have said water shouldn'theaproblem, he said.

Although some people in the broader study area **may be** running out of water, "you don'thear from the people who have good water," he said. **"You** hear from the people who don't."

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Programs, Projects and Operations Subcommittee
SUBJECT:	Contract for Phase 2 Rural Water Distribution System Improvements/Dakota County
DATE:	February 27, 2003
FROM:	Dick Sklenar

Bids were opened on the above date regarding distribution system improvements for the District's Dakota County Rural Water System. The improvements entail the construction of a new 8" reinforcing main to enhance the availability of water supplies for the northern portion of the distribution network, to hookup a trailer court with about 120 units, and to do some additional looping of the system. This is the second phase *o* three planned.

The names of the firms and the respective bid *is* as follows:

FIRM NAME	BID AMOUNT
1. M. Albenesius Inc.	\$245,332.25
2. Albenesius Contracting Inc.	\$250,885.35
3. Plumbing& Electric Service Inc.	\$260,962.95
4. R. Rose Sewer & Water Service	\$265,474.91
5. Heine Electric & Irrigation Inc.	\$303,208.75
6. Zeisler Construction Inc.	\$345,575.25
7. M.E. Collins Company	\$385,000.00

The District's Engineering Consultant for this work (Bartlett and West Engineers, Inc.) estimated the construction cost at \$287,781.50.

Management recommends that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board of Directors that the General Manager be authorized to execute a contract of \$245,332.25 with Mark Albenesius Inc. for Phase 2 distribution system improvements *for* the District's Dakota County Rural Water System.

DS:pz: 619 File: Memo Dakota Rural Water System



ENGINEERS SERVICE, THE BARTLETT & WEDT WAY.

March 3, 2003

Mr. Dick Sklenar Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District 8901 **SO.** 154th Street Omaha, NE 68138-3621

> SUBJECT: Recommendation of Award for Contract No. 2 Waterline Extension koject For RWD No. 1, Dakota County, Nebraska W.O. 4239.002

Dear Board of Directors:

The bid opening for the proposed distribution system improvements were held on February 27, 2003 at 2:00 P.M. The bids were public ally opened and read aloud.

The low bidder for the base bid for Contract No. 2 was Mark Albenesius, Inc., with a base bid of \$245,332.25. This bid includes approximately 6 miles of 8" and 6" distribution lines, road and driveway crossings, connections to existing waterlines, valves, flushhydranis, rough and finished site grading, and a master meter.

We have not worked with this contractor in the past, but have heard positive responses regarding their work with the City of Lemars, lowa, the City of South Sioux City, Nebraska, arid the engineering firm of DeWild, Grant, Reckert & Associates. These comments expressed confidence in this contractor's ability to complete a quality job on time. These projects consisted of waterline and force main installations of varying lengths up to 18-inches in size

Based on this information, we recommend that the District Award Contract No. 2 for the base hid, In the low bidder Mark Albenesius, Inc. for the amount of \$245,332.25.

Sincerely,

BARTLETT & WESTENGINEERS, Inc.

John A. Ruckman, P.E.

JAR

Enclosure

1200 SW EXECUTIVE DRIVE E TOPEKA KS 65615-3860 785.277.2252 B FAX 785.272.7349 E DGG.200.6454 WWW.BARTWEST.COM

------

SEV 1000/4239-002/11 OKRY RECOLEMENDATIONS DOK /DAWE



ERVIDE. THE BARTLETT & WEST WAY.

1200 GW EXECUTIVE DRIVE # TOPEKA KE 66619-3850 785.372.2253 # 7AX 785.373.7349 # 888.200.6444 WWW.BARTWERT.COM

Bid Tabulation

Date: Thursday, February 27, 2003, 2:00 p.m.

Project: Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District Contract 2 - Waterline Extension Project **Project No.: 4239.002** Pase 1 of 2

Nn	Description	Quantity Unit	Unit	Engineer	t's Estimate	Mark A	Mark Albenesius, Inc.	Albenesius (	Albenesius Contracting, Inc.	Serv	Service, Inc.	ō.	Service	Hene Ele	Hene Electric & Irrig., Inc.
				T HUU HUN											
1	8" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	23,290	Ľ.	S 8.75	\$ 157,207.50	0 5.74	4 133,684.60	6.21	144,630.90	6.30	146,727.00	6.67	155,344.30	6.47	150,686.30
2	6" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	8,615 L.F.	Ľ	\$ 5.50	\$ 47,382.50	60 4.27	7 36,786.05	4.43	38, 164.45	4.75	40,921,25	5,17	44,539,55	5.27	45,401.05
m	3" CI. 200 PVC Pipe	15	LF.	\$ 3.75	\$ 56.25	5 4.00	0 60.00	3.25	48.75	3.50	52.50	10.85	162.75	3.75	56.25
4	2 1/2" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	15	L.F.	\$ 3.25	\$ 48.75	r5 4.00	0 60.00	2.60	39.00	3.00	45.00	10.00	150.00	3.15	47.25
<u>م</u>	2" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	80	L.F.	\$ 3.00	\$ 240.00	3.10	0 248.00	2.15	172.00	2.75	220.00	2.32	185.60	2.90	232.00
ß	Pipeline in Road Right-of-way	6,300	L.F.	\$ 1.00	\$ 6,300.00	0.50	3,150.00	0.50	3,150.00	0.50	3,150.00	0:30	1,890.00	1.40	8,820.00
2	8" Gate Valve & Box	8	EA	\$ 750.00	\$ 6,000.00	00 540.00	0 4,320.00	0 620.00	4,960.00	650.00	5,200.00	540.02	4,320.16	720.00	5,760.00
æ	6" Gate Valve & Box	4	EA	\$ 575.00	\$ 2,300.00	00 475.00	0 1,900.00	470.00	1.880.00	490.00	1,960.00	400.00	1,600.00	530.00	2,120.00
a	4" Gate Valve & Box	1	EA	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	0 350.00	350.00	380.00	380.00	420.00	420.00	325.00	325.00	450.00	450.00
9	2 1/2" Gate Valve & Box	1	EA	\$ 350.00	\$ 350.00	X0 325.00	325.00	340.00	340.00	325.00	325.00	250.00	250.00	350.00	350.00
=	2° Gate Vaive & Box	2	EA	\$ 325.00	\$ 650.00	0 325.00	0 650.00	280.00	560.00	280.00	560.00	250.00	500.00	310.00	620.00
12	Connect to Existing Main Line	4	EA	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	X0 500.00	2,000.00	550.00	2,200.00	575.00	2,300.00	400.00	1,600.00	1,200.00	4,800.00
5	Fire Hydrant Assembly	5	EA	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	1,700.00	0 8,500.00	1,600.00	8,000.00	1,995.00	9,975.00	1,725.00	8,625.00	2,551.00	12,755.00
14	Master Meter Setting	-	EA	\$ 9,500.00	\$ 9.500.00	X0 4,750.00	0 4,750.00	4,000.00	4,000.00	e,300.00	6,300.00	3,645.00	3,645.00	10,400.00	10,400.00
15	Air Reiease Hydrant	-	EA	\$ 550.00	\$ 550.00	X0 960.00	960.00	00:902	706.00	650.00	650.00	500.00	500.00	575.00	575.00
<b>1</b> 6	8" Railroad Crossing	-	EA	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 15,500.00	0 8,640.00	D B,640.00	9,100.00	9,100.00	9,950.00	9,950.00	9,000.00	8,000.00	17.000.00	17,000.00
17	8* Type I Road Crossing	4	EA	\$ 5.000.00	\$ 5.000.00	0 8,970.00	0 8,970.00	7,800.00	7,800.00	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,600.00	7,600.00	10,780.00	10,780.00
18	8" Type II Road Crossing	3	Ę	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	1,800.00	5,400.00	1,880.00	5,640.00	1,400.00	4,200.00	1,800.00	5,400.00	2,674.00	8,022.00
18	6" Type I Road Crossing	4	ĘĀ	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	0 3,250.00	0 13,000.00	2,750.00	11,000.00	2,500.00	10,000.00	3,000.00	12,000.00	3,154.00	12,616.00
8	6" Type 1 Stream Crossing	-1-	Ę	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	0 2,800.00	0 2,800.00	2,500.00	2,500.00	2,300.00	2,300.00	600.00	600.00	4,300.00	4,300.00
24	Tracer Wire	31,965	Ľ.	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,196.50	50 0.04	4 1,278.60	0.05	1,598.25	0.08	2,557.20	0.07	2,237.55	0.06	1,917.90
22	12"X8" Wet Tap Connection	+	EA	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 2,200.00	0 2,500.00	0. 2,500.00	1,443.00	1,443.00	2,100.00	2,100.00	1,800.00	1,800.00	2,000.00	2,000.00
23	8"X8" Wet Tap Connection	1	EA	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00	0 2,500.00	0 2,500.00	1,423.00	1,423.00	2,000.00	2,000.00	1,600.00	1,600.00	1,800.00	1,800.00
24	6"X6" Wet Tap Connection	1	EA	1,500.00	1,500.00	00 2,500.00	0 2,500.00	1,150.00	1,150.00	1,550.00	1,550.00	1,600.00	1,600.00	1,700.00	1,700.00
	TOTAL				\$ 291,781.50		\$245,332.25	2	\$250,885.35		\$260,962.95		\$265,474.91		\$303,208.75

3 ARTLETT

1200 BW EXECUTIVE DRIVE B TOPEKA KS 66615-3450 786.272.2252 B FAX 785.272.7349 B 888.200.6464 WWW.BARTWEST.DOM

# **Bid Tabulation**

Date: Thursday, February 27, 2003, 2:00 p.m.

Tro'Act: Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District Contract 2 - Waterline Extension Project Project No.: 4239.002 Page 2 of 2

ltem No.	Description	Quantity Unit	Unit	Engio	s.Estimate	Zeisler Con	Zeisler Construction, Inc.	M.E. Colli C	M.E. Collins Contracting Co., Inc.						
				Unit Price	Extension	Unit Price	Extension	Unit Price	Extension	Unit Price	Extension	Unit Price	Extension	Unit Price	Extension
-	8" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	23,290	Ľ.	\$ 6.75	\$ 157,207.50	8.10	188,649.00	9.45	220,090.50						
2	6" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	8,615	с. Г.	\$ 5.50	\$ 47,382.50	5.65	48,674.75	7.64	65,818.60						
3	3" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	15	15 L.F.	\$ 3.75	\$ 56.25	6.00	90.08	11.60	174.00						
4	2 1/2" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	15	15 L.F.	\$ 3.25	\$ 48.75	6.00	90.00	11.20	168.00						
5	2" Cl. 200 PVC Pipe	80	L.F.	\$ 3.00	\$ 240.00	5.00	400.00	10.90	872.00						
9	Pipeline in Road Right-of-way	6,300	Ľ.	\$ 1.00	\$ 6,300.00	1.25	7,875.00	1.50	9,450.00						
7	8* Gate Valve & Box	3	8 EA	\$ 750.00	\$ 6,000.00	675.00	5,400.00	900.005	7,200.00						
8	6" Gate Vaive & Box	4	<u>۳</u>	\$ 575.00	\$ 2,300.00	540.00	2,160.00	00.068	2,760.00						
6	4" Gate Valve & Box	-	E E	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	460.00	460.00	600.00	600.00						
10	2 1/2" Gate Valve & Box		E	\$ 350.00	\$ 350.00	380.00	380.00	540.00	540.00						
÷	2* Gate Vaive & Box	2	2 EA	\$ 325.00	\$ 650.00	275.00	550.00	750.00	1,500.00						
12	Connect to Existing Main Line	*	f EA	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	840.00	3,360.00	800.00	3,200.00						-
13	Fire Hydrant Assembly	5	5 EA	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	2,140.00	10,700.00	2,290.00	11,450.00						
14	Master Meter Setting	-	ų	\$ 9,500.00	\$ 9,500.00	6,400.00	6,400.00	5,100.00	5,100.00						
15	Air Release Hydramt		EA	\$ 550.00	\$ 550.00	850.00	850.00	940.00	940.00					-	
16	8* Railroad Crossing		EA	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 15,500.00	11,900.00	11,900.00	9,519.00	9,519.00					_	
17	8" Type I Road Crossing	-	£	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	9,200.00	9,200.00	8,270.00	8,270.00						
18	8" Type II Road Crossing		3	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	4,400.00	13,200.00	2.075.00	6,225.00						
19	6" Type   Road Crossing	4	Ē	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	5,200.00	20,800.00	4,095.00	16,380.00						
20	6* Type 1 Stream Crossing	٠ -	EA	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	3,800.00	3,800.00	3,700.00	3,700.00						
21	Tracer Wire	31,965	Ë.	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,196.50	0.10	3,196.50	0.06	1, 817.90						
22	12"X8" Wet Tap Connection	-	Ł	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 2,200.00	2,600.00	2,600,00	3,300.00	3.300.00	_					
23	8"X8" Wet Tap Connection	-	Ę	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00	2,540.00	2,540.00	3,300.00	3,300.00						
24	6"X6" Wet Tap Connection		Ē	1,500.00	1,500.00	2,300.00	2,300.00	2,525.00	2,525.00						
	TOTAL				\$ 291,781.50		\$345,575.25		\$385,000.00						

### **MEMORANDUM**

ł

TO:	Programs, Projects and Operations Subcommittee
SUBJECT:	Chalco Hills Boulevard Improvement Project
DATE:	March 3.2003
FROM:	Jerry Herbster, Park Superintendent

The Papio-Missouri River NRD received and opened bids on the Chalco Hills Recreation Area Boulevard Improvement Project on March 6, 2003 at 10:00 a.m. The project was advertised in local and state-wide publications on February 20 and 27,2003. There was a pre-bid conference held at the NRD offices on March 4, 2003 at 11:00 am. for all prospective bidders with the engineering firm of Sides & Associates and NRD staff explaining the total project.

There were 7 contractors who submitted bids. The bids were reviewed by the NRD staff and Sides & Associates. The engineering estimate for this project was \$305,430.00 for concrete surface and \$294,478.00 for asphalt surface. Budgeted amount for the project was \$305,000.00. Tabulation of bids received is attached.

It is the staff's recommendation that the Subcommittee recommend to the Board that the low bid submitted by Luxa Construction for \$227,759.08 be awarded the contract for the Cbalco Hills Recreation Area Boulevard Improvement Project.

Herbster/pt/memo-Chalco Hills Blvd improvements File: 264

INC.



**SINCE 198** 

March 6.2003

Mr. Jerry Herbster Park Superintendent Papio-Missouri River – NRD 890 I South 154th Street Omaha NE 68138

RE: Chalco Hills Recreation Area/Wehrspann Lake Boulevard Improvements Review of Bids Received March 6, 2003

#### Dear Jerry:

We have reviewed the bids as received on March 6, 2003 for the above referenced project and recommend that the lowest and best bid be awarded to Luxa Construction Co.. Inc. in the total bid amount of \$227.759.08

#### The following Base Plan PCC bids were received:

Luxa Construction Co. Inc.	\$227,759.08
Swain Construction, Inc.	\$242,983.97
Cedar Construction Co.	\$264,080.38
Tab Construction Co.	\$280,710.18
Vrana Construction Co.	<b>\$285,71</b> 7.14
Engineer's <i>Estimate</i>	\$305,430.00
The following Alternate Plan ACC bids were received:	
US Asphalt Co.	\$229,798.17
Western Engineering	\$241,505.26
Engineer's Estimate	\$294,478.00

I have forwarded a copy of the bid tabs to PatTeer via email. If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to give mea call.

Sincerely, SIDES & ASSOCIATES, INC.

caro

Russell W. Falconer, P.E. Project Engineer

Copy. file

3207 North 90th Street • Omaha. NE 68134 • Tel: (402) 573-2205 • Fax (402) 573-5233 • engineers@sides-ind.com

## TABULATION OF BIDS Chalco Hi Recreation Area/Wehrspann Lake - Boulevard Improver, is

Alternate Plan ACC

				ers Opinion of e Constr. Cost	Cedar	Construction
Item		Estimated	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
#	Description	Ouantities	Price	Price	Price	Price
		Hereiter Harre	R STATE COLLE		1966 <b>1980 2</b> 2 4	A STATE
1.	REMOVE PAVEMENT	5,158.4 S.Y.	3.25	16,764.80	1.56	8,047.10
2.	REMOVE BOLLARD	1.0 EACH	250.00	250.00	56.00	56.00
3.	REMOVE INLET	1.0 EACH	350.00	350.00	336.00	336.00
4.	REMOVE CULVERT PIPE, 12" DIAMETER	42.0 L.F.	8.00	336.00	11.20	470.40
5.	RELOCATE STREET LIGHTING UNIT	11.0 EACH	1,100.00	12,100.00	600.00	6,600.00
6.	SUBGRADE CONSTRUCTION	7,600.0 S.Y.	2.00	15,200.00	0.80	6,080.00
7.	EARTHWORK (EMBANKMENT)	2,970.0 C.Y.	4.50	13,365.00	7.25	21,532.50
8.	CONSTRUCT 7" CONCRETE PAVEMENT	5,854.9 S.Y.	30.00	175,647.00	23.50	137,590.15
9.	CONSTRUCT 4" COLORED CONCRETE MEDIAN SURFACING	687.8 S.Y.	30.00	20,634.00	45.00	30,951.00
10.	CONSTRUCT CURB INLET, TYPE I	2.0 EACH	3,000.00	6,000.00	2,240.00	4,480.00
11.	CONSTRUCT 18" CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	82.0 L.F.	25.00	2,050.00	25.78	2,113.96
12.	CONSTRUCT JUNCTION BOX	1.0 EACH	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,250.00	1,250.00
13.	CONSTRUCT STREET LIGHTING FOUNDATION	11.0 EACH	325.00	3,575.00	870.00	9,570.00
14.	STREET LIGHTING CABLE	1,800.0 L.F.	6.00	10,800.00	6.75	12,150.00
15.	SEEDING, NATIVE PRAIRIEGRASS MIXTURE	6,030.0 S.Y.	0.70	4,221.00	0.60	3,618.00
16.	FABRIC SILT FENCE	525.0 L.F.	3.50	1,837.50	3.25	1,706.25
17.	EROSION CONTROL	404.8 S.Y.	5.00	2,024.00	2.05	829.84
18.	REMOVE EXISTING WOVEN WIRE FENCE	350.0 L.F.	1.65	577.50	2.65	927.50
19.	GATE POSTS AND FOOTINGS	2.0 EACH	550.00	1,100.00	600.00	1,200.00
20.	PRECAST PANEL AND FOOTING	1.0 EACH	5,500.00	5,500.00	6,235.00	6,235.00
21.	ROUND RAIL WOOD FENCE	340.0 L.F.	8.80	2,992.00	6.00	2,040.00
22.	PLANTING BED PREPARATION	920.0 S.F.	1.10	1,012.00	0.70	644.00
23.	SOUTHWESTERN WHITE PINE - 6'-8' HEIGHT	10.0 EACH	275.00	2,750.00	215.00	2,150.00
24.	FLAME AMUR MAPLE - 5'-6' HEIGHT	5.0 EACH	165.00	825.00	50.00	250.00
25.	GRO-LOW SUMAC - 15"-18" HEIGHT	22.0 EACH	38.50	847.00	21.00	462.00
26.	NATIVE GRASSES AND WILDFLOWERS	140.0 EACH	4.95	693.00	5.05	707.00
27.	ALUMINUM EDGING	178.0 L.F.	4.40	783.20	4.56	811.68
28.	TURFGRASS SEEDING	2,120.0 S.Y.	0.80	1,696.00	0.60	1,272.00
				305,430.00		264,080.38

SIDES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 3207 NORTH 90TH STREET OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68134

#### TABULATION OF BIDS Chalco Hills Recreation Area/Wehrspann Lake - Boulevard Improvements

Alternate Plan ACC

			There is a series of the series	Construction	Swain	Construction
Item		Estimated	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
#	Description	Quantities	Price	Price	Price	Price
		ananger and an and an				
1.	REMOVE PAVEMENT	5,158.4 S.Y.	2.38	12,276.99	1.50	7,737.6
2.	REMOVE BOLLARD	1.0 EACH	122.70	122.70	60.00	60.0
3.	REMOVE INLET	1.0 EACH	435.24	435.24	300.00	300.0
4.	REMOVE CULVERT PIPE, 12" DIAMETER	42.0 L.F.	7.80	327.60	12.00	504.0
5.	RELOCATE STREET LIGHTING UNIT	11.0 EACH	536.00	5,896.00	626.40	6,890.4
6.	SUBGRADE CONSTRUCTION	7,600.0 S.Y.	0.49	3,724.00	0.75	5,700.0
7.	EARTHWORK (EMBANKMENT)	2,970.0 C.Y.	5.91	17,552.70	7.60	22,572.0
8.	CONSTRUCT 7" CONCRETE PAVEMENT	5,854.9 S.Y.	20.00	117,098.00	21.00	122,952.9
9.	CONSTRUCT 4" COLORED CONCRETE MEDIAN SURFACING	687.8 S.Y.	38.62	26,562.84	37.83	26,019.4
10.	CONSTRUCT CURB INLET, TYPE I	2.0 EACH	1,313.18	2,626.36	1,595.00	3,190.0
11.	CONSTRUCT 18" CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	82.0 L.F.	28.18	2,310.76	30.50	2,501.0
12.	CONSTRUCT JUNCTION BOX	1.0 EACH	1,165.12	1,165.12	1,220.00	1,220.0
13.	CONSTRUCT STREET LIGHTING FOUNDATION	11.0 EACH	774.00	8,514.00	923.40	10,157.4
14.	STREET LIGHTING CABLE	1,800.0 L.F.	6.00	10,800.00	6.25	11,250.0
15.	SEEDING, NATIVE PRAIRIEGRASS MIXTURE	6,030.0 S.Y.	0.50	3,015.00	0.78	4,703.4
16.	FABRIC SILT FENCE	525.0 L.F.	2.90	1,522.50	3.00	1,575.0
17.	EROSION CONTROL	404.8 S.Y.	1.80	728.64	1.45	586.9
18.	REMOVE EXISTING WOVEN WIRE FENCE	350.0 L.F.	2.34	819.00	1.96	686.0
19.	GATE POSTS AND FOOTINGS	2.0 EACH	500.00	1,000.00	477.00	954.0
20.	PRECAST PANEL AND FOOTING	1.0 EACH	3,974.43	3,974.43	3,585.00	3,585.0
21.	ROUND RAIL WOOD FENCE	340.0 L.F.	5.33	1,812.20	5.40	1,836.0
22.	PLANTING BED PREPARATION	920.0 S.F.	0.60	552.00	1.84	1,692.8
23.	SOUTHWESTERN WHITE PINE - 6'-8' HEIGHT	10.0 EACH	190.00	1,900.00	232.20	2,322.0
24.	FLAME AMUR MAPLE - 5'-6' HEIGHT	5.0 EACH	45.00	225.00	183.60	918.0
25.	GRO-LOW SUMAC - 15"-18" HEIGHT	22.0 EACH	18.00	396.00	21.60	475.2
26.	NATIVE GRASSES AND WILDFLOWERS	140.0 EACH	4.50	630.00	5.40	756.0
27.	ALUMINUM EDGING	178.0 L.F.	4.00	712.00	3.78	672.8
28.	TURFGRASS SEEDING	2,120.0 S.Y.	0.50	1,060.00	0.55	1,166.0
				227,759.08	~~~~····	242,983.9

SIDES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 3207 NORTH 90TH STREET OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68134

## TABULATION OF BIDS Chalco Hi!' "Recreation Area/Wehrspann Lake - Boulevard Improven s

Alternate Plan ACC

			Tab Construction		Vrana Construction	
Item		Estimated	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
#	Description	Quantities	Price	Price	Price	Price
		<b>的</b> 现象。在1997年1月1日的		averative and the		ras entre gran
	REMOVE PAVEMENT	5,158.4 S.Y.	3.00	15,475.20	1.80	9,285.12
	REMOVE BOLLARD	1.0 EACH	110.00	110.00	124.80	124.80
	REMOVE INLET	1.0 EACH	331.00	331.00	445.80	445.80
	REMOVE CULVERT PIPE, 12" DIAMETER	42.0 L.F.	7.50	315.00	15.40	646.80
	RELOCATE STREET LIGHTING UNIT	11.0 EACH	592.00	6,512.00	568.70	6,255.70
	SUBGRADE CONSTRUCTION	7,600.0 S.Y.	1.25	9,500.00	2.00	15,200.00
	EARTHWORK (EMBANKMENT)	2,970.0 C.Y.	6.55	19,453.50	9.30	27,621.00
8.	CONSTRUCT 7" CONCRETE PAVEMENT	5,854.9 S.Y.	24.45	143,152.31	22.89	134,018.66
9.	CONSTRUCT 4" COLORED CONCRETE MEDIAN SURFACING	687.8 S.Y.	46.85	32,223.43	56.00	38,516.80
10.	CONSTRUCT CURB INLET, TYPE I	2.0 EACH	1,610.00	3,220.00	1,694.50	3,389.00
11.	CONSTRUCT 18" CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	82.0 L.F.	24.22	1,986.04	32.90	2,697.80
12.	CONSTRUCT JUNCTION BOX	1.0 EACH	1,891.00	1,891.00	3,473.60	3,473.60
	CONSTRUCT STREET LIGHTING FOUNDATION	11.0 EACH	855.00	9,405.00	927.40	10,201.40
	STREET LIGHTING CABLE	1,800.0 L.F.	6.63	11,934.00	7.20	12,960.00
	SEEDING, NATIVE PRAIRIEGRASS MIXTURE	6,030.0 S.Y.	0.90	5,427.00	0.60	3,618.00
16.	FABRIC SILT FENCE	525.0 L.F.	2.70	1,417.50	2.90	1,522.50
	EROSION CONTROL	404.8 S.Y.	2.00	809.60	2.20	890.56
18.	REMOVE EXISTING WOVEN WIRE FENCE	350.0 L.F.	1.77	619.50	1.80	630.00
	GATE POSTS AND FOOTINGS	2.0 EACH	602.00	1,204.00	662.30	1,324.60
20.	PRECAST PANEL AND FOOTING	1.0 EACH	5,300.00	5,300.00	3,228.10	3,228.10
21.	ROUND RAIL WOOD FENCE	340.0 L.F.	12.45	4,233.00	6.60	2,244.00
22.	PLANTING BED PREPARATION	920.0 S.F.	0.65	598.00	1.60	1,472.00
23.	SOUTHWESTERN WHITE PINE - 6'-8' HEIGHT	10.0 EACH	210.00	2,100.00	245.10	2,451.00
24.	FLAME AMUR MAPLE - 5'-6' HEIGHT	5.0 EACH	49.00	245.00	54.50	272.50
25.	GRO-LOW SUMAC - 15"-18" HEIGHT	22.0 EACH	22.00	484.00	22.10	486.20
26.	NATIVE GRASSES AND WILDFLOWERS	140.0 EACH	5.00	700.00	4.90	686.00
	ALUMINUM EDGING	178.0 L.F.	4.45	792.10	4.40	783.20
	TURFGRASS SEEDING	2,120.0 S.Y.	0.60	1,272.00	0.60	1,272.00
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · ·		280,710.18	Į.	285,717.14

SIDES & ASSOCIATES, INC. 3207 NORTH 90TH STREET OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68134

## TABULATION OF BIDS Chalco Hills Recreation Area/Wehrspann Lake - Boulevard Improvements

Alternate Plan ACC

Alternate Fla			4		
14		Estimated	AVERAGE UNIT	HIGH UNIT	LOW UNIT
ltem					
#	Description	Quantities	PRICE	PRICE	PRICE
The second second second second second		5,158.4 S.Y.	2.05	3.00	1.50
<u>                                      </u>	REMOVE PAVEMENT	1.0 EACH	94.70	124.80	56.00
2.	REMOVE BOLLARD REMOVE INLET	1.0 EACH	369.61	445.80	300.00
4.	REMOVE INLET REMOVE CULVERT PIPE, 12" DIAMETER	42.0 L.F.	10.78	15.40	7.50
4.	RELOCATE STREET LIGHTING UNIT	42.0 L.F. 11.0 EACH	584.62	626.40	536.00
6.	SUBGRADE CONSTRUCTION	7,600.0 S.Y.	1.06	2.00	0.49
		2,970.0 C.Y.	7.32	9.30	5.91
7.	EARTHWORK (EMBANKMENT)	<del></del>			
8.	CONSTRUCT 7" CONCRETE PAVEMENT	5,854.9 S.Y.	22.37 44.86	<u>24.45</u> 56.00	20.00
9.	CONSTRUCT 4" COLORED CONCRETE MEDIAN SURFACING	687.8 S.Y.			and the second se
10.	CONSTRUCT CURB INLET, TYPE I	2.0 EACH	1,690.54	2,240.00	1,313.18
11.	CONSTRUCT 18" CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	82.0 L.F.	28.32	32.90	24.22
12.	CONSTRUCT JUNCTION BOX	1.0 EACH	1,799.94	3,473.60	1,165.12
13.	CONSTRUCT STREET LIGHTING FOUNDATION	11.0 EACH	869.96	927.40	774.00
14.	STREET LIGHTING CABLE	1,800.0 L.F.	6.57	7.20	6.00
15.	SEEDING, NATIVE PRAIRIEGRASS MIXTURE	6,030.0 S.Y.	0.68	0.90	0.50
16.	FABRIC SILT FENCE	525.0 L.F.	2.95	3.25	2.70
17.	EROSION CONTROL	404.8 S.Y.	1.90	2.20	1.45
18.	REMOVE EXISTING WOVEN WIRE FENCE	350.0 L.F.	2.10	2.65	1.77
19.	GATE POSTS AND FOOTINGS	2.0 EACH	568.26	662.30	477.00
20.	PRECAST PANEL AND FOOTING	1.0 EACH	4,464.51	6,235.00	3,228.10
21.	ROUND RAIL WOOD FENCE	340.0 L.F.	7.16	12.45	5.33
22.	PLANTING BED PREPARATION	920.0 S.F.	1.08	1.84	0.60
23.	SOUTHWESTERN WHITE PINE - 6'-8' HEIGHT	10.0 EACH	218.46	245.10	190.00
24.	FLAME AMUR MAPLE - 5'-6' HEIGHT	5.0 EACH	76.42	183.60	45.00
25.	GRO-LOW SUMAC - 15"-18" HEIGHT	22.0 EACH	20.94	22.10	18.00
26.	NATIVE GRASSES AND WILDFLOWERS	140.0 EACH	4.97	5.40	4.50
27.	ALUMINUM EDGING	178.0 L.F.	4.24	4.56	3.78
28.	TURFGRASS SEEDING	2,120.0 S.Y.	0.57	0.60	0.50

SIDES & ASSOCIATES, JNC. 3207 NORTH 90TH STREET OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68134

# Memo

To:	Directors
From:	Trent Heiser
CC	
Date:	March 4,2003
Re;	Papio Email addresses for the Directors

#### Advantages & Disadvantages

The advantages of giving the directors email addresses from papionrd.org would be that we could post the addresses on the website and the directors could give their address out without having to give their personal address. The website would have the uniformity of having the same structure for all directors and the staff. The directors can choose whether they want an actual mailbox that they could log into or just an alias that would forward the emails to another account of their choice. The constituents of each district would then be able to contact the director thru their own address instead of going thru the NRD staff and having it forwarded to the director. Estimated cost for software to host director email under our existing system  $\pm$  \$3,500.

The disadvantages of giving the directors email addresses would be that if the directors choose to have a mailbox then the director would be responsible for checking the account on their own. The mailboxes could be subject to the same rules that the NRD email policy contains including monitoring and language filtering.