

Memorandum

To: PPO Subcommittee

Re: Cooperating Technical Partners Grant Application and Agreements for Floodplain Mapping update in Dakota County

Date: April 30, 2007

From: Paul Woodward, Water Resources Engineer

In August of last year, the Board approved a floodplain map scoping project agreement and grant application with FEMA to study and determine what floodplains need updating in Douglas, Sarpy, Washington and Dakota County. This effort is part of an ongoing Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP) program with FEMA to create and maintain accurate, up-to-date flood hazard data within the District.

To complete this scoping, the District hired HDR Engineering in February. Since February, HDR, District staff and FEMA have held initial meetings with all the communities and counties to receive their input on what local needs they have for new or updated floodplain maps. In addition, FEMA currently has federal grant dollars available to complete work in Dakota County. Therefore, based on a prioritized list of needs for Dakota County, HDR prepared the attached Mapping Activities Statement (MAS) which outlines the work to be completed by the District in return for receiving the grant from FEMA. The result of this proposed project will be a complete digital county-wide map for Dakota County including the following updates:

- ☐ Inclusion of Approximate Zone A restudy being performed by the Corps of Engineers on all streams except the Missouri River.
- ☐ Inclusion of updated floodplain analysis performed by the Corps of Engineers on the Missouri River.
- ☐ Inclusion of updated floodplain study done on Elk Creek for the Village of Jackson following a tornado in 1999.
- ☐ Inclusion of all letters of map revision previously approved by FEMA, including updated done on Omaha Creek within the Village of Homer by the USGS.
- ☐ Utilizing 2 foot topography, perform a new detailed study on an open drainageway and the old Missouri River oxbow which form the Crystal Lake area along the west side of South Sioux City.
- ☐ Redelineation of some existing detailed floodplains on Omaha, Elk, and Pigeon Creek using 4 foot topography utilized by the Corps in their Missouri River restudy.

In order to fund this project, FEMA sent the attached March 19, 2007 letter inviting the District to submit an application to receive CTP Grant funds. Based on Time and Cost Estimates for the Dakota County project, the anticipated funding from the grant is \$138,000 with local funds from the District estimated at \$45,000, a cost-share of about 25%. However, District staff has also been working with the City of South Sioux and it is anticipated that they would agree to pay half of this local match as part of the District's Floodplain Mapping Assistance program. Applications for Federal funding will be completed online.

It is estimated that this project would start sometime around September once FEMA has allocated their funding and the NRD has selected a contractor to provide the necessary professional services. A proposed schedule is attached.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District, that the District should apply to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) under the FEMA Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) Program, for CTP grants in the maximum obtainable amount (presently estimated to be \$138,000) towards the cost of activities needed to produce digital floodplain maps for Dakota County, and should apply for technical assistance, training, data and other assistance available from FEMA under the CTP Program to support such mapping activities; and, be it further resolved, that the General Manager of the District is hereby authorized to carry out the Dakota County Floodplain Mapping project and to execute, for and on behalf of the District, Applications for Federal Assistance for such FEMA CTP grants, a CTP Cooperative Agreement with FEMA, CTP Mapping Activity Statements, and such other applications, assurances, certificates, reports and other documents, and amendments thereto, as the General Manager determines necessary to obtain such CTP grants and other mapping activity assistance from FEMA.



PAPIO-MISSOURI RIVER NATURAL RESOURCES DISTRICT COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNERS MAPPING ACTIVITY STATEMENT

Mapping Activity Statement No. 3 – Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map Production and Development of Updated Flood Data

In accordance with the Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) Partnership Agreement dated April 11, 2003 between Papio-Missouri River NRD and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Mapping Activity Statement (MAS) No. 3 is as follows:

SECTION 1—OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE

The objective of the Flood Map Project documented in this MAS is to develop a Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report for Dakota County. The DFIRM and FIS report will be produced in the FEMA County-wide format. In addition, the Mapping Partners involved in this project will develop new and/or updated flood hazard data, as summarized in Table 1.1, Flooding Sources to be Studied.

Table 1.1 - Flooding Source(s) to be Studied

Flooding Source	Reach Limits	Reach Length	Detailed Riverine		Redelineat ion of SFHAs Using Effective Profiles and New Topograph y	Refine/ Establish Zone A
			Hydrology	Hydraulics		
Elk Creek	Village of Jackson to BNSF Railroad (from 2,500 feet downstream of Thomas Street to 6,000 feet upstream of BNSF Railroad)	31,700 feet (6.0 miles)			X	
Pigeon Creek	U.S. Hwy. 77 to 1 mile upstream of Hubbard (From 14,200 feet above mouth to 70,500 feet above mouth)	42,700 feet (8.1 miles)			X	
Omaha Creek	U.S. Hwy. 77 to Homer (From 1,660 feet above County Road to 11,500 feet above)	11,100 feet (2.1 miles)			X	
Old Omaha Creek Channel	From 250 feet above County Road to 6,700 feet above	6,200 feet (1.2 miles)			X	
Missouri River	Dakota County boundary along the Missouri River	31.2 miles	X	X		
Old Silver Lake Bed	Missouri River to 1 square mile drainage	13,100 feet (2.5 miles)	X	X		

Crystal Lake	Old Missouri River Oxbow located in South Sioux City	5.4 miles	X	X		
--------------	--	-----------	---	---	--	--

This Flood Map Project will be completed by the following Mapping Partner(s):

- Papio-Missouri River NRD;
- CTP Study Contractor; and
- National Service Provider.

The Mapping Partner shall notify FEMA and/or its contractor by e-mail of all meetings with community officials at least two weeks prior to the meeting (with as much notice as possible). FEMA and/or its contractor may or may not attend the community meetings.

The activities for this Flood Map Project, including any required Quality Assurance (QA) reviews, and the Mapping Partners that will complete them are summarized in Table 1.2, Flood Mapping Project Activities. The sections of this MAS that follow the table below describe the specific mapping activities, responsible Mapping Partner(s), FEMA standards that must be met, and resultant map components.

Metadata files are to be included with each of the following four activities that must satisfy Data Capture Standards (DCS): Perform Field Survey, Develop Topographic Data, Develop Hydrologic Data, and Develop Hydraulic Data. In addition, a DCS QA report is required for all DCS tasks. FEMA has provided the DCS Validator Tool in WISE in the Citrix environment to generate the QA report, and must be used whether or not WISE was used to create the DCS data. The DCS QA report can be either passing or failing, but a failing report must be validated by the RMC for allowable errors. The task will advance in the MIP studies workflow as long as the report has been uploaded and named correctly.

Metadata files are also to be included with each of the following non-DCS activities: Acquire Base Map Data, Perform Floodplain Mapping, Develop DFIRM Database, Produce Preliminary Map Products, and Produce Map Products. The metadata profiles are available from FEMA. The FEMA NFIP Metadata Profiles follow the Federal Geographic Data Committee Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata, but define some specific domains and business rules to make the metadata more useful to FEMA and its mapping partners. The metadata profile should be obtained from FEMA or its contractor to assure compliance.

DFIRM-related tasks require a passing notification from Harvard Design and Mapping (HDM). The HDM notification is not a file that the Papio-Missouri River NRD creates or uploads. Data and metadata are sent to HDM for QC via the MIP for the draft, preliminary, and final deliverable submissions (Develop DFIRM Database task, Produce Preliminary Map Products Task, and Produce Map Products task). Submission method is either via the Database Exporter function in the DFIRM Tools or through the Load Data Artifacts portlet within the DFIRM-related MIP studies workflow task. The pass/fail HDM notification and a web link to the detailed QC report are automatically submitted back to the MIP by HDM.

Table 1.2 Flood Mapping Project Activities

State	County	Partner Name	Partner Type	Task Assignments																				
				Scoping	Perform Field Survey	Validate & Store Content	Develop Topographic Data	Validate & Store Content	Perform Independent QA/QC of Topographic Data	Acquire Base Map	Validate & Store Content	Perform Hydrologic Analyses	Validate & Store Content	Perform Hydraulic Analyses	Perform Independent QA/QC of Hydraulic Analyses	Perform Floodplain Mapping	Validate & Store Content	Perform Independent QA/QC of Floodplain Mapping	Develop DIRM Database	Validate & Store Content	Produce Preliminary Map Products	Perform Independent QA/QC of Preliminary Map Product	Validate & Store Content	Distribute Preliminary Map Products
NE	Dakota	MRNRD	CTIP		X		X			X				X			X			X				
NE	Dakota	Michael Baker Jr.	NSP										X				X				X		X	X
Dakota County		NSP Core Task Order				X																		

As each activity is completed, the data must be submitted to the MIP. There are four ways to submit data to the MIP:

- Copy data from the Production drive (J:) within the MIP Workflow screen for the associated task
- Upload data via the Load Data Artifacts Portlet in the Studies workflow task,
- Mail to the FEMA Data Depot using the following method:
 - Zip multiple files and subfolders in correct folder structure together.
 - Send CDs, DVDs, Hard Drives, or Flash Drives; there is no file size restriction.
 - Include readme.txt file indicating Region, State, County, Community, FEMA Case Number, workflow task(s), destination folder for zip file, and contact information.
 - Inform MIP Help (miphelp@mapmodteam.com) that the submission has been mailed with necessary documentation to instruct MIPHelp on the upload of the submitted.
 - Workflow will not advance until the data submission has been received and uploaded.
- Exporting data from DFIRM Tools (limited to DFIRM-related data submissions).

The Papio-Missouri River NRD assigned the activity will respond to any comments generated as a result of the mandatory quality control checks by the National Service Provider (NSP). The NSP QC process is nationally funded and required on each flood insurance study. The NSP QC process includes the following activities:

- **Validate Content Submission.** Validation of submitted data for Perform Field Survey, Develop Topographic Data, Develop Hydrologic Data, Develop Hydraulic Data, Acquire Base Map Data, Perform Floodplain Mapping, Develop DFIRM Database, and Produce Preliminary Map Products tasks (including verifying presence of all required deliverables per MAS/SOW, running MetaMan to verify NFIP Profile-compliant metadata, and reviewing DCS QA Report for DCS tasks).
- **Store Content.** Storing content of above tasks on the MIP.
- **NSP QC Check of Draft DFIRM database.** Performed during the Develop DFIRM Database task.
- **NSP QC Check of Preliminary DFIRM and Flood Insurance Study Report (FIS).** Performed during the Produce Preliminary Map Products task.
- **NSP QC Check of BFE notices.** Performed during Post Preliminary Processing.
- **NSP QC Check of Final DFIRM.** Performed during Post Preliminary Processing.
- **NSP QC Check of LFD letters.** Performed during Post Preliminary Processing.
- **NSP QC Check of MSC Package.** Performed during Post Preliminary Processing.
- **MSC QC Check of MSC Package.** Performed during Post Preliminary Processing.

In cooperation with the FEMA Project Officer, a Project Management Team (PMT) will be established by the Papio-Missouri River NRD +consisting of representatives from the Papio-Missouri River NRD and its CTP Study Contractor, FEMA's regional engineer, the Regional Management Center, and other appropriate parties. The PMT will be responsible for coordinating the activities identified in this MAS. The FEMA Region will be provided with documentation identifying the established PMT.

Work completed as part of this MAS will be in accordance with the April 2003 *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners (G&S)*. The G&S may be downloaded from the FEMA Flood Hazard Mapping website at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm. Occasionally, the G&S are modified and revised by Procedure Memorandums. Procedure Memorandums 1 - 30, 32 - 38, 41, 43 and 44 are incorporated into this MAS. When new Procedure Memorandums are released, the Mapping Partner will coordinate with the Regional Project Officer to determine impacts on work and schedule.

OUTREACH

The outreach activities for a Flood Map Project can best be understood as a process that begins during the Project Scoping phase and continues through the map production and post-preliminary phases. A regulatory overview of required activities is followed by a description of tools that can be used in working with stakeholders to keep them informed and to solicit their input.

The overarching goal for conducting outreach is to create a climate of understanding and ownership of the mapping process at the State and local levels. Well-planned outreach activities can reduce political stress, confrontation in the media, and public controversy, which can arise from lack of information, misunderstanding, or misinformation. These outreach activities also can assist FEMA and other members of the Project Management Team in responding to congressional inquiries.

The Papio-Missouri River NRD will work with the Regional Project Officer during the initiation of this activity to determine an Outreach Plan for implementation throughout the mapping project. The Regional Project Officer will have access to many outreach tools and materials developed for this process that can be utilized or customized. Volume 1 of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* provides specific outreach goals that may be considered.

The Papio-Missouri River NRD and its Study Contractor shall attend a final meeting in Dakota County following the issuance of the Preliminary FIRM and FIS.

Prior to the initiation of the project, the Papio-Missouri River NRD will notify the CEO of all incorporated communities and the county of the project scope and schedule. The notification letter shall also include the appointment of the Consultation Coordination Officer (CCO). The FEMA Project Officer will provide the name of the CCO. A hardcopy of the community notification shall be provided to the FEMA Project Officer for inclusion in the Docket File.

All communication with local governments will be done in accordance with 44 CFR Part 66.

Deliverables: Upon determination of an Outreach and Coordination Approach, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall deliver the following to the FEMA Regional Project Officer:

- A report detailing outreach and coordination activities; and
- Hardcopy of the community notification.

Perform Field Survey

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: To supplement any field reconnaissance conducted during the Project Scoping phase of this project, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall conduct a detailed field reconnaissance of the specific study area to determine conditions along the floodplain(s), types and numbers of hydraulic and/or flood-control structures, apparent maintenance or lack thereof of existing hydraulic structures, locations of cross sections to be surveyed, and other parameters needed for the hydrologic and hydraulic analyses.

In addition to the initial field reconnaissance, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall conduct field surveys, including obtaining channel and floodplain cross sections, identifying or establishing temporary bench

marks, and obtaining the physical dimensions of hydraulic and flood-control structures. Papio-Missouri River NRD also shall coordinate with other Mapping Partners that are involved in the Topographic Data Development process.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the field survey that are raised during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

Standards: All Field Survey work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A report summarizing the findings of the field reconnaissance;
- Maps and drawings that provide the detailed survey results;
- Survey notebook containing cross sections and structural data;
- Documentation of the Datum, (Refer to Procedure Memorandum 41);
- Digital version of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications;
- Survey Database or Data Delivery consistent with the Data Capture Standards–Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;
- A DCS QA Report, and
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 3

MIP workflow steps: Perform Field Survey, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Corresponding QC steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core task order), and Store Content (NSP core task order).

Develop Topographic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: To supplement the field surveys conducted under this MAS, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall obtain additional topographic data of the overbank areas of the flooding sources studied to delineate floodplain boundaries. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall gather information on what topographic data is available for the given community and what accuracy and currency it meets. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use topographic data that is better than that of the original study.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use topographic data for the areas described in the Summary of Topographic Data table. The source of the topographic data should be indicated as well. Papio-Missouri River NRD also shall coordinate with other team members conducting field surveys. Contour interval

and/or accuracy for the topographic data shall be selected based on the current FEMA requirements as documented in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.

For this activity, Papio-Missouri River NRD also shall develop topographic maps and/or Digital Elevation Models for the subject flooding sources using the data collected under this Topographic Data Development process and via field surveys. In addition, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the topographic data development that are raised by National Service Provider during the independent QC review, or during the NSP Validation Process.

Topographic data prepared for the UMRFFS Study for the Missouri River and the tag vector contours (TVC) for Dakota County has been processed and satisfy FEMA's Data Capture Standards. The South Sioux City topographic data will require coordination with Nebraska Department of Natural Resources and additional verification.

Summary of Topographic Data

County	Description	Source
Dakota County	City of South Sioux City – 2-foot contour mapping	Government supplied, available from Nebraska Department of Natural Resources Contact Brian Dunningan (bdunningan@dnr.ne.gov).
Dakota County	Missouri River bluff area topographic mapping obtained for USACE, Upper Mississippi River System Flow Frequency Study (UMRFFS) - 4-foot contour mapping	Government supplied, available from RMC 7 (meets DCS)
Dakota County	TCV/ 10 m DEM	Government supplied, available from Nebraska Department of Natural Resources Contact Brian Dunningan (bdunningan@dnr.ne.gov). (meets DCS)

Standards: All Topographic Data Development work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that National Service Provider can access it for an Independent QC review. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

For topographic data that is obtained from Public Domain sources, the Papio-Missouri River NRD will provide:

- Report summarizing methodology and results;
- Metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications; and

- Terrain Database or Data Delivery consistent with the Data Capture Standards—Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*; and
- A DCS QA Report.

For topographic data purchased for this project, or data provide by Community Sources, the Papio-Missouri River NRD will provide:

- Accuracy documentation for community provided data, if available;
- Report summarizing methodology and results;
- Digital Elevation Model (DEM) file with breaklines;
- Checkpoint analyses to assess the accuracy of the TIN data, including Root Mean Square Error calculations to support vertical accuracy;
- Identification of remote-sensing data voids and methods used to supplement data voids;
- National Geodetic Survey data sheets for Network Control Points used to control remote-sensing and ground surveys;
- Metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications;
- Documentation of the Datum (Refer to Procedure Memorandum 41);
- Terrain Database or Data Delivery consistent with the Data Capture Standards—Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;
- A DCS QA Report, and
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 4

MIP workflow step equivalent: Develop Topographic Data, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Perform Independent QC for Topographic Data (if funded by Region VII), and Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Independent QC Review of Topographic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall review the mapping data generated by Papio-Missouri River NRD under Develop Topographic Data to ensure that these data are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to prepare the DFIRM. If Papio-Missouri River NRD utilizes a contractor to perform the QA, the contractor must be a different contractor than who performed the original analyses. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer.

Standards: All Topographic Data Development work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP, through the Load Studies Data Artifacts portlet under the Data Upload tab under Tools & Links. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in

Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the Independent QC review; and
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the Independent QC review.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 5

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Independent QC for Topographic Data.

Concurrent steps: Develop Topographic Data, Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Acquire Base Map

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: Base Map Acquisition consists of obtaining the digital base map with aerial photos (raster), for the project and as necessary, preparing the base map for use. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the digital base map. The table below contains a summary of the base map selected for each county. The required activities are as follows:

- Obtain digital files (raster or vector) of the base map. In coordination with the partner who performed scoping, insure that the FEMA Geospatial Data Coordination Policy and Implementation Guide is followed.
- Secure necessary permissions from the map source to allow FEMA's use and distribution of hardcopy and digital map products using the digital base map, free of charge.
- Certify that the digital data meets the minimum standards and specifications that FEMA requires for DFIRM production.

In addition, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the base map that are raised during the Independent QC review performed by National Service Provider, or during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

Summary of Base Map

County	Description	Source
Dakota	DOQQ	Nebraska Department of Natural Resources (NDNR), Mahendra Bansel (402) 471-3664
Dakota	Corporate Boundaries	Dakota City- PDF File Homer – PDF File – Source: NDOR Hubbard- PDF File – Source: NDOR Jackson – PDF File South Sioux City – AutoCAD file Dakota County – NDNR
Dakota	ETJ	Created by GIS Workshop during DFIRM Scoping. 1 or 2 mile (South Sioux City

		only) from corporate boundaries
Dakota	Roads	Nebraska Department of Natural Resources, Mahendra Bansel (402) 471-3664

Standards: All Base Map Acquisition work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, (Volume 1, Appendix K and Appendix L), Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that National Service Provider can access it for an Independent QC. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Written certification that the digital data meet the minimum standards and specifications;
- Documentation that FEMA can use the digital base map;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications; and
- Documentation of the Datum, if appropriate.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 12

MIP workflow step equivalent: Acquire Base Map.

Concurrent steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Perform Independent QC for Base Map (if funded by Region VII), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Independent QC Review of Base Map- NOT APPLICABLE

Perform Hydrologic Analyses

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall perform hydrologic analyses for the flooding source(s) listed in Table 1.1. For streams studied by detailed methods the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall calculate peak flood discharges for the 10-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance storm events using the method indicated in the table below. For streams studied by approximate methods the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall calculate peak flood discharges for the 1 percent-annual-chance storm events using the method indicated in the table below. These flood discharges will be the basis for subsequent Hydraulic Analyses performed under this MAS. In addition, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the hydrologic analyses that are raised during the Independent QC review performed by National Service Provider, or during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

If GIS-based modeling is used, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall document automated data processing and modeling algorithms, and provide the data to FEMA to ensure these are consistent with the standards outlined above. Digital datasets (such as elevation, basin, or land use data) are to be documented and provided to FEMA for approval before performing the hydrologic analyses to ensure the datasets meet minimum requirements. If non-commercial (i.e., custom-developed) software is used for the analysis, then Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide full user documentation, technical algorithm documentation, and the software to FEMA for review before performing the hydrologic analyses.

The detailed hydrologic analysis will not include the Mississippi or Missouri Rivers. For these streams the discharges developed by the USACE for the UMRFFS will be used by the Papio-Missouri River NRD.

Summary of Hydrologic Analysis

County Name	Method	Square Miles of New Detailed Hydrology (Estimated Drainage Area)
Dakota County	HEC-HMS	20-25

The Mapping Partner will compare the calculated, or computed, discharge with discharge determined from reliable gage data, if any. This comparison will only be done at locations where the two discharge values are considered representative of the same flooding source. Results of this comparison will be used in making a professional judgment for determining the discharge to be used for the hydraulic analysis.

Standards: All Hydrologic Analyses work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that National Service Provider can access it for an Independent QC review. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

For stream studied by detail methods, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the following deliverables:

- Digital copies of all hydrologic modeling (input and output) files for the 10-, 2-, 1-, and 0.2-percent-annual-chance storm events;
- Digital versions of the Summary of Discharges Table presenting discharge data for the flooding sources for which hydrologic analyses were performed;
- Digital draft text for Hydrologic Analyses Section of the FIS report; and
- Digital versions of all backup data used in the analysis.

For all streams, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the following deliverables:

- Brief summary report documenting the study area, methodologies, assumptions, and any other pertinent information related to the engineering analysis performed.
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications;
- Hydrology Database or Data Delivery consistent with the Data Capture Standards–Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;

- A DCS QA Report;
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan; and
- For GIS-based modeling, deliverables shall include all input and output data, intermediate data processing products, and GIS data layers.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 6

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Hydrologic Analyses, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Perform Independent QC for Hydrologic Analyses (if funded by Region VII), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Independent QC Review of Hydrologic Analyses

Responsible Mapping Partner: National Service Provider

Scope: National Service Provider shall review the technical, scientific, and other information submitted by Papio-Missouri River NRD specific to the hydrologic analyses to ensure that the data and modeling are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to prepare the DFIRM. If National Service Provider utilizes a contractor to perform the Independent QC, the contractor must be a different contractor than who performed the original analyses. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

- Review the submittal for technical and regulatory adequacy, completeness of required information, and supporting data and documentation. The technical review is to focus on the following:
 - Use of acceptable models;
 - Use of appropriate methodology(ies);
 - Correctly applied methodology(ies)/model(s), including QC of input parameters;
 - Comparison with gage data and/or regression equations, if appropriate; and
 - Comparison with discharges for contiguous reaches or flooding sources.
- Maintain records of all contacts, reviews, recommendations, and actions and make the data readily available to FEMA;
- Maintain an archive of all data submitted for hydrologic modeling review. (All supporting data must be retained for three years from the date a funding recipient submits its final expenditure report to FEMA, and once the study is effective all associated data should be submitted to the FEMA library); and

Standards: All Independent QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: : In accordance with Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP, through the Load Studies Data Artifacts portlet under the Data Upload tab under Tools & Links. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in

Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the Independent QC review and
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the Independent QC review.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 7

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Independent QC for Hydrologic Analyses.

Concurrent steps: Perform Hydrologic Analyses, Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Hydraulic Analyses

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: For the streams identified in Table 1.1 of this MAS that will be studied by approximate methods, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall perform hydraulic analyses for all flooding sources within each county that have a drainage area greater than or equal to 1 mi². For all flood sources that have drainage areas less than 1 mi² and have effective approximate mapping, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall also perform hydraulic analyses extending to the upstream limits of the effective study. The estimated length of approximate-studied streams is 8 miles. The modeling will include the 1% annual chance storm event based on peak discharges computed under the Perform Hydrologic Analyses Task. The hydraulic methods used for this analysis are indicated in the table below.

For the streams identified in Table 1.1 that will be studied by detailed methods, Papio-Missouri River NRD will perform hydraulic analyses for approximately 8 miles. The modeling will include the 10%, 2%, 1% and 0.2% annual chance storm events based on peak discharges computed in the Perform Hydrologic Analyses Task. The hydraulic methods used for this analysis will include HEC-RAS computer program. The hydraulic analyses will be used to establish flood elevations and regulatory floodways for the subject flooding sources.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use the cross-section and field data collected during Perform Field Survey and the topographic data collected during the Develop Topographic Data to perform the hydraulic analyses. The hydraulic analyses will be used to establish flood elevations and regulatory floodways for the subject flooding sources.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use the FEMA CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS checking program to verify the reasonableness of the hydraulic analyses. To facilitate the Independent QC review, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide explanations for unresolved messages from the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate. In addition, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the hydraulic analyses that are raised by National Service Provider during the Independent QC review, or during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall document automated data processing and modeling algorithms for GIS-based modeling and provide the data to FEMA for review to ensure these are consistent with the standards outlined above. Digital datasets are to be documented and provided to FEMA for approval before performing the hydraulic analyses to ensure the datasets meet minimum requirements. If non-

commercial (i.e., custom-developed) software is used for the analyses, then Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide full user documentation, technical algorithm documentation, and software to FEMA for review before performing the hydraulic analyses.

The hydraulic analysis will not include the Mississippi or Missouri Rivers. For these streams, the profile and floodway developed by the USACE for the UMRFFS will be used by the Papio-Missouri River NRD.

Summary of Hydraulic Analysis

County Name	Method	Total Miles of New Detailed or Approximate Hydraulics
Dakota County	HEC-RAS	8

Standards: All Hydraulic Analyses work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that National Service Provider can access it for an Independent QC review. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

For streams studied by detailed methods, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the following deliverables:

- Digital profiles of the 10-, 2-, 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance water-surface elevations representing existing conditions using the FEMA RASLOT program or similar software;
- Digital versions of the Floodway Data Table for each flooding source that is compatible with the DFIRM database;
- Digital work map showing the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodway boundary delineations, cross sections, and with base map used from the Acquire Base Map Task
- Explanations for unresolved messages from the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate; and
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report.

For all flood sources, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the following deliverables:

- Digital versions of all hydraulic modeling (input and output) files;
- Digital versions of a table showing ranges of Manning's "n" values;
- Digital versions of all backup data used in the analyses;
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications;
- Hydraulic Database or Data Delivery consistent with the Data Capture Standards–Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;
- A DCS QA Report;

- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA Plan; and
- For GIS-based modeling, deliverables include all input and output data, intermediate data processing products, GIS data layers, and final products in the format of the DFIRM database structure.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 8

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Hydraulic Analyses, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Perform Independent QC for Hydraulic Analyses (if funded by Region VII), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Independent QC Review of Hydraulic Analyses

Responsible Mapping Partner: National Service Provider

Scope: National Service Provider shall review the technical, scientific, and other information submitted by Papio-Missouri River NRD under Hydraulic Analysis to ensure that the data and modeling are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to revise the FIRM. If National Service Provider utilizes a contractor to perform the QC, the contractor must be a different contractor than who performed the original analyses. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

- Review the submittal for technical and regulatory adequacy, completeness of required information, and supporting data and documentation. The technical review is to focus on the following:
 - Use of acceptable model(s);
 - Starting water-surface elevations;
 - Cross-section geometry;
 - Manning's "n" values and expansion/contraction coefficients;
 - Bridge and culvert modeling;
 - Flood discharges;
 - Regulatory floodway computation methods; and
 - Tie-in to upstream and downstream non-revised Flood Profiles.
- Use the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate, to flag potential problems and focus review efforts.
- Maintain records of all contacts, reviews, recommendations, and actions and make the data readily available to FEMA.
- Maintain an archive of all data submitted for hydrologic modeling review. (All supporting data must be retained for three years from the date a funding recipient submits its final expenditure report to FEMA, and once the study is effective all associated data should be submitted to the FEMA library); and
-

Standards: All Independent QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix N of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP, through the Load Studies Data Artifacts portlet under the Data Upload tab under Tools & Links. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the Independent QC review;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the Independent QC review;

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 9

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Independent QC for Hydraulic Analyses.

Concurrent steps: Perform Hydraulic Analyses, Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Floodplain Mapping

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope for Detailed Riverine: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall delineate the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries and the regulatory floodway boundaries (if required) for the flooding sources for which detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses were performed. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall incorporate all new or revised hydrologic and hydraulic modeling and shall use the topographic data acquired under Develop Topographic Data to delineate the floodplain and regulatory floodway boundaries on a digital work map.

Scope of Redelineation of Detailed Floodplain Boundaries Using Updated Topographic Data: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall delineate the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries, and regulatory floodway boundaries for the flooding sources listed earlier in Table 1.1. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use the topographic data acquired under Develop Topographic Data to delineate the floodplain and regulatory floodway boundaries, as appropriate, on a digital work map. If the new topographic data do not reflect the same hydraulic characteristics as in the effective study, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall evaluate the topographic data to determine if changes are significant enough to invalidate the floodplain boundary and regulatory floodway boundary redelineations. If so, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall contact the FEMA Regional Project Officer, identified in Section 12 – Points of Contact, with a recommendation.

Scope for Existing Data Studied Areas Using Updated Topographic Data: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall delineate the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries for Elk Creek using data from the Flood Disaster Recovery Study for the village of Jackson as a Zone A or Zone X, as appropriate. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use the topographic data acquired under Develop Topographic Data to delineate the floodplain boundaries, as appropriate, on a digital work map. If the new topographic data do not reflect the same hydraulic characteristics as in the effective study, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall evaluate the topographic data to determine if changes are significant enough to invalidate the floodplain boundary redelineations. If so, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall contact the FEMA Regional Project Officer, identified in Section 12 – Points of Contact, with a recommendation.

Scope for Non-revised Areas: For all flooding sources except those segments for which updated flood data will be developed, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall convert the information shown on the effective FIRM and FBFM panels for all incorporated and unincorporated areas to digital format in conformance with FEMA DFIRM specifications. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall use the acquired base map for the conversion. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall not digitize the flood theme for those segments of flooding sources for which updated flood data will be developed.

Scope for Existing Data Studied Areas: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall incorporate the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries and the regulatory floodway boundaries for the Missouri River for which detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses were performed. Papio-Missouri River NRD will need to complete floodplain mapping activities as defined in Attachment A. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall coordinate with FEMA to obtain the data. In April 2007, a December 2007 date was estimated for availability.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall incorporate the Approximate A Zone boundaries for all flooding sources not previously detailed studied and draining greater than 1 square mile, which was performed by Nebraska Department of Natural Resources as described in MAS No. 17 between Nebraska Department of Natural Resources and FEMA. Papio-Missouri River NRD will need to complete floodplain mapping activities. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall coordinate with FEMA to obtain the data. In April 2007, a November 2007 date was estimated for availability.

Scope for Merging Revised and Non-Revised Information: Upon completion of the floodplain mapping activities for the revised and non-revised areas, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall merge the digital floodplain data into a single, updated DFIRM. This work is to include tie-in of flood hazard information for areas that were not studied as part of the Flood Map Project documented in this MAS. Papio-Missouri River NRD also shall tie in the revised and non-revised Flood Profiles, floodplain boundaries, and regulatory floodway boundaries with contiguous communities that were not studied as part of the Flood Map Project documented in this MAS. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall coordinate with FEMA and any additional Mapping Partners responsible for other components of Perform Floodplain Mapping, as necessary, to resolve any potential tie-in issues.

DFIRM Panel Summary

County Name	Number of DFIRM panels
Dakota County	51

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall incorporate the results of all effective Letters of Map Change (LOMCs) within the revised areas as appropriate. Only those LOMCs visible at the published map scale shall be included.

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding Floodplain Mapping that are raised by National Service Provider during the Independent QC review, or during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

Standards: All Floodplain Mapping work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards. Mapping quality standards must be consistent with Procedure Memorandum No. 38, dated September 2, 2005.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, and upon completion of floodplain mapping for the counties identified in Table 1.1, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that National Service Provider can access it for the Independent QC review. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal. The mapping for the remaining flooding sources including any non-revised digital panels and all merged revised and non-revised floodplain mapping data is to be submitted for a final QC review at the completion of this activity.

- Digital work map showing the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodway boundary delineations, cross sections, BFEs, flood insurance risk zone designation labels, and all applicable base map features;
- Floodway Data Table;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- DFIRM mapping files prepared in accordance with the requirements in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan;
- Any backup or supplemental information including supporting calculations and assumptions used in the mapping required for the Independent QC review of Hydrologic and /or Hydraulic Analyses and Floodplain Mapping;
- An explanation for the use of existing topography for the studied reaches, if appropriate.
- Written summary of the analysis methodologies;
- Digital versions of input and output for any computer programs that were used;
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications; and
- If automated GIS-based models are applied, all input data, output data, intermediate data processing products, and GIS data layers shall be submitted.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 10 (Detailed Riverine), Task 10A (Redelineation), Task 13 (Non-revised Areas), and Task 14 (Merging Revised and Non-Revised).

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Floodplain Mapping, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Perform Independent QC for Floodplain Mapping (if funded by Region VII), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Perform Independent QC Review of Floodplain Mapping

Responsible Mapping Partner: National Service Provider

Scope: National Service Provider shall review the floodplain mapping submitted by Papio-Missouri River NRD under Perform Floodplain Mapping to ensure that the results of the analyses performed are accurately represented; the redelineation of existing data on new, updated topography is appropriate; and to ensure that the new DFIRM panels accurately represent the information shown on the effective FIRMs and FBFMs for the unrevised areas that are mapped. If National Service Provider utilizes a contractor to perform the QA, the contractor must be a different contractor than who performed the original floodplain mapping. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

- Review the cross sections for proper location and orientation on the work map and agreement with the Floodway Data Table.
- Review the BFEs shown on the work map for proper location and agreement with the results of the hydraulic modeling.
- Review the regulatory floodway widths for agreement with the widths shown in the Floodway Data Table and the results of the hydraulic modeling.
- Review the floodplain boundaries for agreement with the flood elevations shown in the Floodway Data Table, the contour lines, and other topographic information shown on the work maps.
- Review the floodplain widths at cross sections as shown on the work maps to ensure the data matches the Floodway Data Table.
- Review the floodplain boundaries as shown on the work maps to ensure the data matches the Flood Profiles.
- For non-revised floodplain areas, the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries agree with the floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM, the contour lines, other topographic information, and planimetric information shown on the DFIRM base.
- Road and floodplain relationships are maintained for all unrevised areas.
- Review the flood insurance risk zones as shown on the work maps to ensure the data are labeled properly.
- Review the DFIRM mapping files to ensure the data were prepared in accordance with the requirements in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.
- Review the metadata file to ensure the data includes all required information shown in the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profiles.

Standards: All Independent QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix L of *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to MIP, through the Load Studies Data Artifacts portlet under the Data Upload tab under Tools & Links. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the QC review, noting any deficiencies in or agreeing with the mapping results;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the Independent QC review; and

- An annotated work map with all questions and/or concerns indicated, if necessary.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 11 (review of revised floodplain mapping) and Task 13A (review of non-revised areas).

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Independent QC for Floodplain Mapping.

Concurrent steps: Perform Floodplain Mapping, Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Develop DFIRM Database

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall prepare the database, produced during Perform Floodplain Mapping in accordance with Appendix L of the *Guides and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, for upload to the MIP. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall coordinate with those Mapping Partners responsible for Floodplain Mapping, as necessary, to resolve any problems that are identified during development of the DFIRM Database. The primary purpose of this activity is to ensure that a quality DFIRM database is prepared earlier in the flood study process and well in advance of the Preliminary DFIRM Map Production and Distribution.

Standards: All DFIRM Database work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- DFIRM database files prepared in accordance with the requirements in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* and in the format(s) required for the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process; and
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: None.

MIP workflow step equivalent: Develop DFIRM Database, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Perform Independent QC for DFIRM Database (if funded by Region VII), NSP QC Check of Draft DFIRM database (HDM automated), Database, Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

NSP QC Check of Draft DFIRM database. The Papio-Missouri River NRD assigned the Develop DFIRM Database task must upload the draft DFIRM database (currently in .e00 format) and draft DFIRM metadata to HDM through the MIP. The submission is automatically sent to HDM for data auto-

validation. The detailed logic description for the HDM QC Pro Auto Screen is available from FEMA or its contractor.

Produce Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partner: Papio-Missouri River NRD

Scope: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall apply the final FEMA DFIRM graphic and database specifications to the DFIRM files produced under Floodplain Mapping. This work shall include adding all required annotation, line pattern, area shading, and map collar information (e.g., map borders, title blocks, legends, notes to user). Papio-Missouri River NRD will be preparing the database for this project in the Standard format. The database shall be produced in accordance with Appendix L of the *Guides and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall coordinate with those Mapping Partners responsible for the Perform Floodplain Mapping and Develop DFIRM Database tasks to resolve any problems that are identified during development of the Preliminary Map Products.

This task includes the creation of the countywide Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report. The FIS report will include the new study data and portions of the existing community based FIS reports as appropriate. The floodway data tables and profile sheets will be combined as needed to create a continuous table and profile for the subject streams. The elevations shown in the FIS shall be referenced to NAVD 1988 vertical datum.

Floodway Data Tables and Profile sheets for the Missouri River are available from the USACE-Kansas City District. The Papio-Missouri River NRD shall incorporate this information into the county-wide FIS as appropriate.

The task also includes a draft preliminary Summary of Map Actions (SOMA) prepared in accordance with FEMA requirements, for all affected communities. The draft preliminary SOMA shall be provided to the Papio-Missouri River NRD as appropriate. The SOMA shall list pertinent information regarding LOMCs that will be affected by the issuance of the DFIRM (i.e., superseded, incorporated, revalidated).

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall address all concerns or questions regarding the Preliminary Map Products that are raised by National Service Provider during the Independent QC review, or during the NSP's Validate Content Submission Process.

When the Papio-Missouri River NRD is not responsible for Post-Preliminary Processing, and if they use a different GIS platform than the National Service Provider will have additional activities associated with Post-Preliminary Processing finalize the DFIRM and FIS report. These additional activities are described in the Post-Preliminary Processing activity.

Standards: All DFIRM Database, DFIRM Map, and FIS Report work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix K and L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- DFIRM mapping and database files prepared in accordance with the requirements in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* and in the format(s) required for the NSP QC Process;
- All Digital information used to compile and print panels which can include digital label and annotation files used to create labeling on panels (including all fonts and style files, if applicable) which can comprise cross sections, BFEs, flood insurance zone labels, and all applicable base map features;
- The Flood Insurance Study Report is prepared in the FEMA Countywide Format as documented in Appendix J of *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*;
- Complete set of plots of DFIRM panels showing all detailed flood hazard information at a suitable scale;
- Draft Preliminary SOMA;
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in approved QA/QC Plan; and
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 14A.

MIP workflow step equivalent: Produce Preliminary Map Products, Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC).

Concurrent steps: Perform Independent QC for Preliminary Map Products (if funded by Region VII), NSP QC Check of Preliminary DFIRM database (HDM automated) DFIRM and FIS (NSP visual), Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

NSP QC Check of Preliminary DFIRM and Flood Insurance Study Report (FIS): The Papio-Missouri River NRD assigned the Produce Preliminary Map Products task must upload the Preliminary DFIRM database (currently in .e00 format) and preliminary DFIRM metadata to HDM through the MIP. The submission is automatically sent to HDM for data auto-validation. The detailed logic description for the HDM QC Pro Auto Screen is available from FEMA or its contractor. In addition, the Papio-Missouri River NRD must submit the preliminary DFIRM and FIS report to the Regional Management Center (RMC) prior to distribution. The amount of time necessary to complete the review will vary dependent upon study size. The RMC will review the DFIRM panels and the FIS report, and verify that the DFIRM database has passed the automated database check as indicated by a passing notification from HDM in the MIP. The RMC will review a sample—roughly 10 percent—of DFIRM panels. In this review, the RMC will look for significant errors. The Papio-Missouri River NRD is responsible for checking all panels and correcting errors identified by the RMC. Any errors identified during this review must be corrected before the Preliminary DFIRM is distributed.

Independent QC Review of Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partner: National Service Provider

Scope: Upon completion of the Produce Preliminary Map Products activity, Papio-Missouri River NRD shall review the DFIRM spatial database to determine if it meets current FEMA database specifications. In addition, National Service Provider shall review the DFIRM panels to ensure they meet current FEMA graphic specifications. National Service Provider shall coordinate with other Mapping Partners, as necessary, to resolve any problems identified during this QC review. In addition, National Service Provider shall perform a review of the FIS report including all data tables, Flood Profiles, and other components of the FIS report. If National Service Provider utilizes a contractor to perform the Independent QC, the contractor must be a different contractor than who performed the original analyses. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall ensure that the requirements below are met.

- All required DFIRM features are accurately and legibly labeled and follow the examples shown in the FEMA DFIRM specifications (App. K). This includes all flood insurance risk zones, BFEs, cross sections, studied streams, mapped political entities, pertinent notes, and all roads within and adjacent to the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains.
- All DFIRM features are correctly symbolized with the appropriate symbol, line pattern, or area shading and follow the requirements in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.
- All map collar information is complete, correct, and follows the requirements specified in *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.
- DFIRM mapping files are in a GIS file and database format as specified in FEMA's *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, and conform to those specifications for content and attribution.
- DFIRM database files are in one of the database formats specified in FEMA's *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, and conform to those specifications for content and attribution.
- The FIS report is prepared in the FEMA Countywide Format as documented in Appendix J of *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.
- Metadata files describing the DFIRM data include all required information shown in the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications.

Standards: All DFIRM Database Development work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix K and L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP, through the Load Studies Data Artifacts portlet under the Data Upload tab under Tools & Links. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the QA review noting any deficiencies in or agreeing with the mapping results and the results of all automated or manual QA steps taken during the Independent QC review;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the Independent QC review;
- An annotated copy of the DFIRM with all questions and/or concerns indicated, if necessary.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 14B.

MIP workflow step equivalent: Perform Independent QA/QC of Preliminary Map Products.

Concurrent steps: Produce Preliminary Map Products, NSP QC Check of Preliminary DFIRM database (HDM automated) DFIRM and FIS (NSP visual), Validate Content Submission (NSP core Task Order), Rework Data Development Task (if needed as a result of Independent QC), Store Content (NSP core Task Order).

Distribute Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partners: National Service Provider

Scope: Distribute Preliminary Map Products consists of the printing and distribution of the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report for community officials and the general public for review and comment. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. The activities to be performed are summarized below.

Preliminary Transmittal Letter Preparation: The National Service Provider shall prepare transmittal letters for the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM, FIS report and related enclosures to all affected communities, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA. This letter may be prepared for FEMA only or for signature by FEMA and National Service Provider. A template specific to Region VII is available from the Regional Management Center.

Preliminary FIRM and FIS Countywide Brochure: Region VII has developed a brochure that will be provided to each community with the Preliminary Transmittal Letter. The National Service Provider shall prepare the brochure for each county. The template is available from the Regional Management Center.

Distribution of Preliminary DFIRM and FIS Report: The National Service Provider shall distribute the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report to all affected communities, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

News Release Preparation: The National Service Provider shall prepare news release notifications of BFE changes for all affected communities, if appropriate, and perform QA/QC reviews of the notices for accuracy and compliance with FEMA format requirements. The National Service Provider shall file the notifications for later submittal to FEMA for review.

Preliminary Summary of Map Actions (SOMA) Distribution: The Papio-Missouri River NRD shall finalize and distribute Preliminary SOMAs for all affected communities, if appropriate, with the Preliminary DFIRM and FIS Report, Countywide brochure, and transmittal letter. The draft preliminary SOMA will be provided by the Papio-Missouri River NRD.

Standards: All Preliminary Map Products work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Preliminary transmittal letters shall be prepared and transmitted. These letters and any additional letters requested by FEMA shall be prepared in accordance with the current version of the *FEMA Document Control Procedures Manual* and in conjunction with Guidance provided by the Region and/or its contractor.
- Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report, including all updated data tables and Flood Profiles shall be mailed to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and floodplain administrator of each affected community, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.
- Preliminary SOMAs, prepared in accordance with FEMA requirements, shall be provided as appropriate.
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the final preparation of the preliminary DFIRM shall be provided as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 15.

MIP workflow step equivalent: Distribute Preliminary Map Products, Verify Outreach Activities.

Concurrent steps: None

Post-Preliminary Processing

Responsible Mapping Partners: National Service Provider and FEMA

Scope: This activity consists of finalizing the DFIRM and FIS report after the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report have been issued to community officials and the public for review and comment. The activities to be performed are summarized below and are subject to the mandatory quality control checks by the National Service Provider (NSP), also as described below.

When the Papio-Missouri River NRD does not use the DFIRM Tools and is not responsible for **Post-Preliminary Processing**, then the Papio-Missouri River NRD will have additional activities associated with Post-Preliminary Processing finalize the DFIRM and FIS report.

Initiation of Statutory 90-Day Appeal Period: When required, upon completion of a 30-day community comment period and/or final coordination meeting with the affected communities, National Service Provider shall arrange for and verify that the following activities are completed in accordance with the current version of the *FEMA Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* and *Document Control Procedures Manual*:

- The National Service Provider shall prepare and distribute Proposed BFE determination letters to the CEOs and floodplain administrators of affected communities.
- The National Service Provider shall prepare news release notifications of BFE changes and verify the notices are published in newspapers with local circulation in accordance with 44 CFR.
- The National Service Provider shall use the BFEs-on-the Web tool to create BFE notices in accordance with Procedure Memorandum No. 44 – Protocol for Publishing Base Flood Elevation (BFE) Notices on the Web.

NSP QC Check of BFE Notices.

- The NSP shall perform a QC review of the Base Flood Elevation (BFE) determination letters and the BFE web notice.
- The NSP shall publish the BFE notice on the web.
- The NSP shall prepare and submit the proposed rule to FEMA for concurrence and publication in the *Federal Register*.

The National Service Provider assigned Post-Preliminary Processing is to submit these documents to the RMC at the 'Create BFE Notice' step in the MIP workflow. The documents must be submitted to the RMC at least 2 weeks prior to the first newspaper publication date. Any errors identified must be corrected by the National Service Provider prior to publication and distribution. This check, 'Approve BFE Notice' in the MIP, will occur shortly after the Community Coordination Officer (CCO) meeting. After FEMA approval, 'Review BFE Docket' in the MIP, the National Service Provider will be able to advance the remaining steps in the 'Manage Appeal' process in the MIP.

Resolution of Protests: National Service Provider shall review and resolve protests received during the comment or 90-day appeal periods. The activity will include all protests to correct street, stream and other names, corporate boundaries, and floodplain boundary changes due to topographic data. For each protest, the following activities shall be conducted as appropriate:

- Initial processing and acknowledgment of submittal;
- Technical review of submittal to determine validity of protest;
- Preparation of letter(s) requesting additional supporting data; and
- Preparation of a draft resolution letter for co-signature with FEMA and revised DFIRM and FIS report materials for FEMA review.

National Service Provider shall mail all associated correspondence upon authorization by FEMA.

Resolution of Appeals: National Service Provider shall review and resolve appeals received during the 90-day appeal period. Appeals that are defined by 67.6(b)(1) or 67.6(b)(3) might be considered a change of scope and should be discussed with the Regional Project Manager before proceeding. For each appeal, the following activities shall be conducted as appropriate:

- Initial processing and acknowledgment of submittal;
- Technical review of submittal to determine validity of appeal;
- Preparation of letter(s) requesting additional supporting data;
- Performance of revised analyses; and
- Preparation of a draft resolution letter for co-signature with FEMA and revised DFIRM and FIS report materials for FEMA review.

National Service Provider shall mail all associated correspondence upon authorization by FEMA.

When the Papio-Missouri River NRD is not responsible for Post-Preliminary Processing, and if they use a different GIS platform than the National Service Provider will have additional activities associated with Post-Preliminary Processing finalize the DFIRM and FIS report.

Resolution of Appeals and Protests: The Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide the revised DFIRM and FIS Report to accompany any protest or appeal resolution letter. The National Service Provider NRD shall provide the Papio-Missouri River NRD with the DFIRM and FIS report corrections for their use in revising the products.

Revision of DFIRM and FIS Report: If necessary, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall revise the DFIRM and FIS report at the direction of the FEMA Regional Project Officer and provide to the National Service

Provider in Adobe .pdf format. The National Service Provider shall distribute Revised preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report to the CEO and floodplain administrator of each affected community, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

Letters of Map Change: Papio-Missouri River NRD shall include all effective LOMCs occurring after the Preliminary Map and up to the time of the Letter of Final Determination, (LFD) for each affected community. The National Service Provider will provide Papio-Missouri River NRD with copies of the LOMCs issued between the above mentioned periods. Only those LOMCs visible at the published map scale shall be included.

Deliverables: The Papio-Missouri River NRD shall make the following products available to the National Service Provider for use in preparing for the final submission to the Map Service Center:

- Post script or encapsulated post script files for each DFIRM map panel and Index map panel. These files will be used for film negative production.
- Adobe .pdf files for the FIS Report cover page, any FIS Report photograph pages, and all profile sheets.

Letters of Map Change: National Service Provider shall include all effective LOMCs occurring after the Preliminary Map and up to the time of the Letter of Final Determination, (LFD) for each affected community. Only those LOMCs visible at the published map scale shall be included.

Preparation of Special Correspondence: National Service Provider shall support FEMA in responding to comments not received within the 90-day appeal period (referred to as "special correspondence") including drafting responses for FEMA review when appropriate and finalizing responses for co-signature. National Service Provider also shall mail the final correspondence (and enclosures, if appropriate) and distribute appropriate copies of the correspondence and enclosures upon receipt of authorization from FEMA.

Revision of FIRM and FIS Report: If necessary, National Service Provider shall work together with FEMA to revise the DFIRM and FIS report and shall distribute revised Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report to the CEO and floodplain administrator of each affected community, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

Final SOMA Preparation: National Service Provider shall prepare Final SOMAs for the affected communities with assistance from FEMA, as appropriate.

Processing of Letter of Final Determination: The National Service Provider shall work with FEMA to establish the effective date for the DFIRM and FIS report, and shall prepare Letters of Final Determination (LFDs) for each affected community for FEMA review in coordination with the Region and its contractor, and in accordance with the FEMA *Document Control Procedures Manual*. FEMA or its designated contractor shall mail the final signed LFDs and enclosures and distribute appropriate copies of the signed LFDs.

NSP QC Check of LFD letters. The National Service Provider assigned Post-Preliminary Processing is responsible for preparing the LFD and SOMA for affected communities. This is the 'Prepare LFD Docket' step in the MIP workflow. The NSP reviews the LFD letters, final Summary of Map Actions (SOMA) and final rule for the federal register. This is the 'Review LFD Docket' step in the MIP. The National Service Provider producing these documents is to submit them to the RMC. The letters

should be submitted to the RMC at least three (3) weeks prior to the date they are to be distributed. Any errors identified must be corrected by the National Service Provider prior to publication and distribution. The 6-month compliance period is initiated with the distribution of the LFD and determines the map's effective date. This is the 'Distribute LFD Letter' step in the MIP.

Processing of Final DFIRM and FIS Report for Printing: National Service Provider shall prepare final reproduction materials for the DFIRM and FIS report and provide these materials to {Insert name of FEMA, NSP or MSC} for printing by the United States Government Printing Office. National Service Provider shall also prepare the appropriate paperwork to accompany the DFIRM and FIS report (including Print Processing Worksheet, Printing Requisition Forms, and Community Map Actions Form) and transmittal letters to the community CEOs.

NSP QC Check of Final DFIRM. The National Service Provider assigned Post-Preliminary Processing must submit the final DFIRM and database to the NSP through the MIP at the 'Produce Map Products' step. Two checks occur during this review—an automated database check and a visual agreement check. The submission is to include the following:

- For automated checks – E00 and metadata text files
- For visual checks – E00, SHP, MIF files; FEMA NFIP Profile-compliant metadata for the DFIRM; and TIF for vector based maps or PNG for Ortho-based maps with their associated world files

Any errors identified during this review, 'Perform Map Products QA' in the MIP, must be corrected by the National Service Provider. This step often is iterative and must be completed before the Letter of Final Determination (LFD) is issued. The period of time that is required to complete the automated and visual agreement check will take no less than 30 days. The method of prioritizing studies is the use of the projected effective date.

This QC step must be completed and the final map products approved before the negatives are produced. It must be remembered that the final DFIRM products including the map negatives and digital data must be delivered to the Map Service Center 4 months prior to the effective date.

NSP QC Check of MSC Package. The National Service Provider assigned Post-Preliminary Processing must prepare the final DFIRM products (Page 1-101 in Volume 1 of the Guidelines and Specifications), both hard copy and digital. This is the 'Submit MSC Deliverable' step in the MIP workflow. The products must be sent to the NSP for quality review one week before the date due to the MSC. This review is the 'Validate MSC Deliverable' step of the MIP. The National Service Provider must correct any errors identified as a result of this review. Once the check is complete and no errors are found, the NSP will forward the package to the Map Service Center.

MSC QC Check of MSC Package. Once the final DFIRM products are delivered to the MSC at the 'Submit Products to MSC' step in the MIP workflow, a final check of the digital data and map negatives is completed. If any errors are identified the MSC will contact the National Service Provider. The Government Printing Office (GPO) requires a month to print the maps. Once the maps are back from the GPO the MSC then mails the maps and FIS. The digital data is placed on their website.

Proof Copies: The National Service Provider will produce and distribute a digital proof copy of the final DFIRM and FIS delivered to the MSC according to the procedures set forth in *Region VII*

Procedures for Proof Copy Preparation and Distribution available from the RMC. The proof copy package includes the following:

- CD or DVD containing digital proof copies of the DFIRM panels and FIS report
- Cover letter addressed to the relevant State NFIP Coordinator

National Service Provider will prepare and distribute the proof copy package to the State NFIP Coordinator, with copies to FEMA Region VII and the RMC, within one week of submitting the final DFIRM and FIS report to the MSC.

Revalidation Letter Processing: National Service Provider shall prepare and distribute letters for FEMA signature to the community CEOs and floodplain administrators to notify the affected communities about LOMCs for which determinations will remain in effect after the DFIRM and FIS report become effective.

Archiving Data: National Service Provider shall ensure that technical and administrative support data are packaged in the FEMA required format and stored properly in the library archives until transmitted to the FEMA Engineering Study Data Package Facility. In addition, the National Service Provider will maintain copies of all data for a period of no less than three years.

Standards: All Post Preliminary DFIRM work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with Appendix L of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, National Service Provider shall make the following products available to FEMA. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in Appendix M of the *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

Hard copy documents to be supplied through the FEDD file (sent to FEMA library):

- Documentation that the news releases were published in accordance with FEMA requirements;
- Documentation that the appropriate *Federal Register* notices (Proposed and Final Rules) were published in accordance with FEMA requirements;
- Draft and final Special Correspondence (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature, as appropriate;
- Draft and final Appeal and Protest acknowledgment, additional data, and resolution letters (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature, as appropriate;
- Draft and final LFDs (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature;
- DFIRM negatives and final FIS report materials including all updated data tables and Flood Profiles;
- Paperwork for the final DFIRM and FIS report materials;
- Transmittal letters for the printed DFIRM and FIS report;
- Proof Copy Distribution Letter;
- LOMC Revalidation Letters, if appropriate;
- Completed, organized, and archived technical and administrative support data; and
- Completed, organized, and archived case files and flood elevation dockets.

Digital files to be submitted through the MIP;

- Digital copies of the final DFIRM database, DFIRM panels and FIS report; and
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications.

Former Mapping Activity Task Number Equivalent: Task 16.

MIP workflow step equivalent: All steps within Manage Post Preliminary Processing process train.

Concurrent steps: None.

SECTION 2—TECHNICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT DATA SUBMITTAL

The Project Team members for this Flood Map Project that have responsibilities for activities included in this MAS shall comply with the data submittal requirements summarized below.

All supporting documentation for the activities in this MAS shall be submitted in the TSDN format in accordance with Appendix M of the FEMA *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*, dated April 2003. Appendix M may be downloaded from the FEMA Flood Hazard Mapping website at http://www.fema.gov/pdf/fhm/frm_gsam.pdf. Table 2-1 indicates the sections of the TSDN that apply to each mapping activity.

If any issues arise that could affect the completion of an activity within the proposed scope or budget, the responsible Mapping Partner shall complete a Special Problem Report (SPR) as soon as possible after the issue is identified and submitted to FEMA. The SPR is to describe the issue and propose possible resolutions. (For additional information on SPRs, refer to Appendix M, Subsection M.2.1.1 of *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*.)

Table 2-1. Mapping Activities and Applicable TSDN Sections

TSDN Section	Mapping Activities													
	Scoping	Perform Field Survey	Topographic Development	QA/QC of Topographic Data	Acquire Base Map	Hydrologic Analyses	QA/QC of Hydrology	Hydraulic Analysis	QA/QC of Hydraulic Analyses	Perform Floodplain Mapping	QA/QC of Floodplain Mapping	Develop DFIRM Database	Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Post-Preliminary Processing
General Documentation														
Special Problem Reports	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Telephone Conversation Reports	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Meeting Minutes/Minutes/ Reports	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
General Correspondence	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Engineering Analyses														
Hydrologic Analyses		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Hydraulic Analyses		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Key to Cross-Section Labeling		X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X			

SECTION 3—PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The mapping activities assigned to Papio-Missouri River NRD in this MAS will be completed within the period of performance specified in the Agreement Articles of the Cooperative Agreement. The Mapping Activities may be terminated at the option of FEMA or Papio-Missouri River NRD in accordance with the provisions of the April 11, 2003 CTP Partnership Agreement. If these mapping activities are terminated, all products produced to date and the remaining funds from uncompleted activities, provided by FEMA for this MAS, will be returned to FEMA.

SECTION 4—FUNDING/LEVERAGE

Funds will be provided to Papio-Missouri River NRD by FEMA through Cooperative Agreement EMK-2007-CA-7007 for the completion for this Flood Map Project. The Cooperative Agreement budget identifies the amount to be provided by each party.

Activities associated with any additional needs would be performed based on availability of additional funds. The CTP Leverage listed below includes in-kind services and blue book values for acquired information (i.e. base map data, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, etc.). The current Blue Book is dated November 2006.

Funding for Project/Partner Name	FEMA Contribution	Partner Contribution	% Leverage	Total Project Cost
TOTAL FUNDING AMOUNTS	\$215,000	\$45,000	17.3%	\$260,000

SECTION 5—STANDARDS

The standards relevant to this MAS are provided in Tables 5-1 and 5-2. Information on the correct volume, appendix, section, or subsection of the FEMA *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* to be referenced for each mapping activity are summarized in Table 5-2 for convenience. However, all mapping partners working on a Flood Map Project are responsible for complying with all appropriate requirements in FEMA's *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners* and related Procedure Memoranda published by FEMA as of the date of this agreement.

These guidelines may be downloaded from the FEMA Flood Hazard Mapping website at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm. The Geospatial Data Coordination Policy and the Geospatial Data Coordination Implementation Guide are located at <https://hazards.fema.gov> under "Tools & Links."

Table S-1. Applicable Standards for Project Activities

Activities															
Applicable Standards	Scoping	Perform Field Survey	Topographic Development	QA/QC Review of Topo Data	Acquire Base Map	Hydrologic Analysis	QA/QC Review of Hydrologic Analysis	Hydraulic Analysis	QA/QC Review of Hydraulic Analysis	Perform Floodplain Mapping	QA/QC Review of Floodplain Mapping	Develop DIRM	QA/QC Review of Preliminary Map	Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Post-Preliminary Processing
<i>Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners</i> , April 2003	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
FEMA's Geospatial Data Coordination Policy	X		X		X										
FEMA's Geospatial Data Coordination Implementation Guide	X		X		X										
Global Positioning System (GPS) Surveys: National Geodetic Survey (NGS-510), "Guidelines for Establishing GPS-Derived Ellipsoid Heights," November 1997	X	X	X	X											
Engineer Manual 1110-2-1003, <i>Hydrographic Surveys</i> (USACE), January 1, 2002	X	X													
"Numerical Models Accepted by FEMA for NFIP Usage," Updated April 2003	X				X	X	X	X	X						
NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications	X		X	X						X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Document Control Procedures Manual</i>	X													X	
<i>44 Code of Federal Regulations Part 66 and 67</i>	X													X	

Table 5-2. Project Activities and Applicable Portions of FEMA Guidelines and Specifications

Activity Description	Applicable Volume, Section/Subsection, and Appendix
Scoping	Volume 1, Section 1.3, Appendix I, Scoping Report document; 44 Code of Federal Regulations Part 66 and 67
Perform Field Survey	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsection 1.4.2.1)
	Appendix A
	Appendix F, Section F.3
	Appendices B, C, M, and N
Topographic Development	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsection 1.4.2.1)
	Appendix A
	Appendices M and N
Independent QA/QC Review of Topographic Data	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.1 and 1.4.2.1)
	Appendix A
	Appendices M and N
Acquire Base Map	Volume 1, Sections 1.3 (specifically Subsection 1.3.1.8) and 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.3.1 and 1.4.3.2)
	Appendix A, Section A.1 (specifically Subsection A.1.1); Appendices K, L, and M
Perform Hydrologic Analyses	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2.2 and 1.4.2.4)
	Appendix A, Section A.4
	Appendix C, Sections C.1 and C.7
	Appendices E, F, G, H, M and N

Activity Description	Applicable Volume, Section/Subsection, and Appendix
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Hydrologic Analyses	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsection 1.4.1)
	Appendix A, Section A.4
	Appendix C, Section C.2
	Appendices E, F, G, H, M and N
Perform Hydraulic Analyses	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2.2 and 1.4.2.4)
	Appendix A, Section A.4 (specifically Subsection A.4.7)
	Appendix C, Sections C.3 and C.7
	Appendices B, E, F, G, H, M and N
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Hydraulic Analyses	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsection 1.4.1)
	Appendix A, Section A.4 (specifically Subsection A.4.7)
	Appendix C, Section C.5
	Appendices B, E, F, G, H, M and N
	Appendix C, Section C.5
	Appendices B, D, and M
	Appendix A, Section A.4
	Appendices B, D, H and M
Perform Floodplain Mapping	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2.2, 1.4.2.3, and 1.4.3.2)
	Appendix C, Sections C. 4 and C.6 (specifically Subsection C.6.1.3)
	Appendix D, Sections D.2 (specifically Subsection D.2.7) and D.3 (specifically Subsection D.3.7)
	Appendices E, F, G, H, K, L, and M
	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2.2, 1.4.2.3, and 1.4.3.2)
	Appendix C, Section C.6 (specifically Subsection C.6.1.3)
	Appendices K, L, and M
	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.1 and 1.4.2.3)

Activity Description	Applicable Volume, Section/Subsection, and Appendix
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Floodplain Mapping	Appendix C, Sections C.4 and C.6 Appendix D, Sections D.2 (specifically Subsection D.2.7) and D.3 (specifically Subsection D.3.7) Appendices E, F, G, H, K, L, and M
Develop DFIRM Database	Volume 1, Section 1.4 Appendices K, L, and M
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Preliminary Map Product	Volume 1, Section 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2.3, 1.4.3.3, 1.4.3.9, and 1.4.3.10) Appendices K, L, and M
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Volume 1, Sections 1.4 (specifically Subsections 1.4.2 and 1.4.3) and 1.5 (specifically Subsection 1.5.1) Appendices J, K, L, and M
Post-Preliminary Processing	Volume 1, Section 1.5 (specifically Subsection 1.5.2) Appendices J, K, L, and M

SECTION 6—SCHEDULE

The tasks documented in this Mapping Activity Statement shall be completed in accordance with the project schedule. The Papio-Missouri River NRD will use the MIP to report progress, entering Cost to Date, Percent Complete to Date, and "As of" date in the "Update Information" section of the Task Information screen for each task. Within three weeks of funds award, the Papio-Missouri River NRD will provide the RMC with the initial schedule for each county for entry into the MIP. The data reported in the MIP will include estimated and actual completion dates, budget and amount spent, and the percent complete of each task identified in the Mapping Activity Statement. Each county identified in Table 1-1 will have separate schedule established.

The Papio-Missouri River NRD will update the MIP at least quarterly, and when a task is completed.

SECTION 7—CERTIFICATIONS

Field Surveys and Topographic Data Development

A Registered Professional Engineer or Licensed Land Surveyor shall provide an accuracy statement for field surveys and/or topographic data used and shall certify these data meet the accuracy statement provided. Data accuracy should be stated used the Federal Geographic Data Committee National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy, but the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing accuracy reporting standards are acceptable.

Base Map Acquisition and Preparation

- A community official or responsible party shall provide written certification that the digital data meet FEMA minimum standards and specifications.
- The responsible Mapping Partner shall provide documentation that the digital base map can be used by FEMA. Please note that uploading base map data to the MIP does not constitute agreement that the digital base map can be used by FEMA. Documentation that the digital base map can be used by FEMA is still being required.
- Certifications must be made at the time the intermediate data is submitted. For example, if hydrologic data is submitted, certification will be required at the time it is submitted.

Hydrologic Analyses, Hydraulic Analyses, and Floodplain Mapping

- A Registered Professional Engineer shall certify hydrologic and hydraulic analyses and data in accordance with 44 CFR 65.6(f).
- Any levee systems to be accredited will be certified in accordance with 44 CFR 65.10.

SECTION 8—TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND RESOURCES

Project Team members may obtain copies of FEMA-issued LOMCs, archived engineering backup data, and data collected as part of the Mapping Needs Assessment Process from FEMA and/or your Regional Project Officer.

General technical and programmatic information, such as FEMA 265 and the Quick-2 computer program, can be downloaded from the FEMA website at <http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/index.shtm>. Specific technical and programmatic support may be provided through FEMA and/or its contractor; such assistance should be requested through the FEMA Project Officer specified in Section 12 – Points of Contact.

Project Team members also may consult with the FEMA Regional Project Officer to request support in the areas of selection of data sources, digital data accuracy standards, assessment of vertical data accuracy, data collection methods or subcontractors, and GIS-based engineering and modeling training.

SECTION 9—CONTRACTORS

Papio-Missouri River NRD intends to use the services of a CTP Study Contractor to be defined as a contractor for this Flood Map Project. Papio-Missouri River NRD shall ensure that the procurement for all contractors used for this Flood Map Project complies with the requirements of 44 CFR 13.36.

Part 13 may be downloaded in PDF or text format from the United States Government Printing Office website at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_04/44cfr13_04.html.

SECTION 10—REPORTING

Papio-Missouri River NRD shall provide progress and financial reports to the FEMA Regional Project Officer and Assistance Officer in accordance with Cooperative Agreement Articles V & VI, and 44 CFR 13.40 and 13.41.

EARNED VALUE REPORTING:

The MIP was developed in part to track the Earned Value of mapping projects. This information is automatically calculated by the MIP, using the Actual cost and schedule of work performed, or “actuals” and comparing them to the expected cost and schedule of work performed, or “baseline”.

Once the FEMA Regional office has issued a task order the NSP will complete the “Obligate Project Funds” screen in the MIP. This step establishes the baseline for the project in the MIP, using the cost and schedule information for each activity.

The MIP study workflow allows Papio-Missouri River NRD to report on the status of these projects at a task level. The cost and schedule information, updated by the Papio-Missouri River NRD for each contracted task, is compared to the baseline established for those tasks. This information is rolled up to a project level and monitored by the FEMA Region to assess progress and Earned Value.

Earned Value reporting involves the reporting of cost, schedule and performance (physical percent complete) in the MIP by the Papio-Missouri River NRD.

Once the baseline schedule and cost is established in the MIP, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall input the performance and actual cost to date for each contracted task for each project. This must be completed at least quarterly. When a task is completed, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall enter 100% complete, enter the actual completion cost, and the actual completion date of each task appearing on their workbench.

Progress reporting shall utilize the MIP. Other progress reports are not anticipated. When the Papio-Missouri River NRD provides deliverables through the MIP, the Papio-Missouri River NRD shall ensure the MIP reflects the status of the related task. The Papio-Missouri River NRD will submit two (2) copies of the MIP Flood Engineering Report and other appropriate reports to the FEMA Assistance Officer for quarterly progress reporting.

The Project Officer, as needed, may request additional information on status on an ad hoc basis.

SECTION 11—PROJECT COORDINATION

Throughout the project, all members of the Project Team will coordinate, as necessary, to ensure the products meet the technical and format specifications required and contain accurate, up-to-date information. Coordination activities shall include:

- Meetings, teleconferences, and video conferences with FEMA and other Project Team members on an ad hoc basis;
- Telephone conversations with FEMA and other Project Team members on an ad hoc basis;
- Updates to the MIP and other FEMA status information systems in accordance with requirements in Volumes 1 and 3 of *Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners*; and
- E-mail, facsimile transmissions, and letters, as required.
- Project Team members shall meet with the Regional Management Center and/or FEMA quarterly to review the progress of the project. These meetings will be held via a conference call at a mutually agreeable time to be determined. Typically, the call will occur following the submittal of the quarterly progress report.

SECTION 12—POINTS OF CONTACT

The points of contact for this Flood Map Project are Robert Franke, the FEMA Regional Project Officer; Paul Woodward, the Project Manager for Papio-Missouri River NRD; or subsequent personnel of comparable experience who are appointed to fulfill these responsibilities. When necessary, any additional FEMA assistance should be requested through the FEMA Regional Project Officer.

Each party has caused this MAS to be executed by its duly authorized representative.

Paul Woodward, P.E., CFM
Project Manager
Papio-Missouri River NRD

Date

Robert G Bissell
Director, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Division
Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region VII

Date

ATTACHMENT A

Summary of Deliverables provided to Mapping Partners
Mapping of the Upper Mississippi River System Flow Frequency Study (UMRSFFS)

MISSOURI and MISSISSIPPI RIVERS


Note: The horizontal projection for all spatial files will be NAD 1983 StatePlane Missouri West FIPS 2403 Feet

Deliverable	Details	Estimated Months Available
s fld haz ln	**Provided county-by-county **Will contain "cleaned" 100-yr/500-yr boundaries for the river (small islands deleted, etc.) Larger islands will be left to the discretion of the mapping partner to delete/keep based on the panel size **Will not contain limit lines (LOS, LODS) **Will include floodway lines as the Corps provided them. MP may have to adjust the floodway line to be at the landward toe of the levee if the floodway limit is at the levee. **Will not be provided in polygon format **Boundaries will extend to end of river bluff-to-bluff terrain only. MP will have to merge backwater with incoming tribs and "close off" gaps in the boundary with supplemental topo data in the county for areas where the UMRSSFFS topo ends (see diagram below) **No assessment of levees will be made. MP will have to decide to cut the mapping off at the levees or keep the floodplain as fully extended based on whether the levee provides protection. **Will be provided as a separate file for each county. The s fld haz ln file in each county will be cleaned and clipped just outside of the county boundary. MP will have to clip it to the final county boundary during their mapping process. **Boundary will be FBS-compliant (results of the FBS check will be provided)	Dec 2007[†] - May 2008[‡] Jun 2008[†] - Nov 2008[‡]
s_perimeter	**Provided for entire extents of the river **Terrain DCS file that will be available on the MIP to view extents of terrain	April 2007 September 2007
s_xs	**Provided for entire extents of the river **MP will have to clip out the cross-sections for their county **The following information will be populated for each cross-section: XS_LN_ID; STREAM_STN; WTR_NM; WSEL_REG; LEN_UNIT; V_DATUM. And other required Appendix L fields (including XS_LTR and XS_LN_TYP) will have to be populated by the MP. **The 500-yr elevation from the UMRSSFFS UNET model at each XS will be added into the shapefile as additional information, although this field should be removed before finalizing the s_xs layer in the final DFIRM database. **WSEL_REG will be in NAVD88 and will match the 100-yr elevation from the HEC-RAS model	December 2007 September 2007
s_wtr_ln	**Provided for the entire extents of the river **The modeled stream centerline will be provided as one feature in the s_wtr_ln file **Will be populated by defining the WATER_TYP element as PROFILE BASELINE **MP will have to clip out the line for their county **The following fields will be populated: WTR_LN_ID; WATER_TYP; WTR_NM. All other required fields will have to be populated by the MP.	December 2007 September 2007
BFE tick shapefile	**Will <i>not</i> be submitted in s_bfe format **MP will have to clip out the BFE lines within their county **Will contain the raw output from WISE, showing the location of natural (whole foot) and significant break BFE lines. Panel edge BFEs will not be provided. **Significant break BFE lines will show both the rounded (ELEV field) and unrounded (ELEV_DEC field) elevations in the attribute table of the shapefile provided. **Lines provided will just be short tick marks to show location. MP will have to select which BFEs to print and will have to extend/orientate the BFEs. MP may also have to create panel edge BFEs once panel layout in their county is finalized.	Dec 2007 - May 2008 Jun 2008 - Nov 2008
Flood Profiles	**Provided county-by-county **Will show the 10-, 50-, and 500-yr elevations from the UMRSSFFS UNET model & the 100-yr elevation from the HEC-RAS model **Will be provided in digital (*.dwg) AutoCAD 2006 format - PDFs will not be provided **Profiles will show all cross-sections in the model so that MP can "pick and choose" which ones to print on the maps **MP will have to edit profiles based on final cross-section lettering selection **Road crossings w/ structure symbols will be included on the profiles **Road names should be verified by the MP using the final base data in their county **MP will have to add in tributary confluence locations, limits of flooding affecting community notes, corporate limits, or other notes on the profiles using county data **MP will have to adjust the profile number (lower right corner of profile) to fit in with the overall county FIS profiles **Profile border will be to FEMA spec **Profiles will be created at either a 1" = 1 river mile or 1" = 2 river miles scale (preference being 1" = 2 miles)	Dec 2007 - May 2008 Jun 2008 - Nov 2008
Floodway Data Tables (FDTs)	**Provided county-by-county **FDTs will show all cross-sections in the model so that MP can "pick and choose" which ones to print on the maps **MP will have to change FDTs based on final cross-section lettering selection **Elevations provided will be in NAVD88 and will match elevations from the HEC-RAS model	Dec 2007 - May 2008 Jun 2008 - Nov 2008
FIS Report text/tables	**Provided county-by-county **FIS report text and tables documenting the UMRSSFFS data will be provided **MP will have to incorporate the write-up and tables into the countywide FIS report for their county	Dec 2007 - May 2008 Jun 2008 - Nov 2008

[†] Represents the earliest month that one should expect the first county to be available

[‡] Represents the latest month that one should expect the last county to be available
(Sequencing of counties will be provided once finalized)

Sample of final mapping data that will be provided »»»



Dakota County
Countywide Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map Cost Estimation

State: Nebraska
County: Dakota

Major Tasks	Assignments	Units	Qty.	Unit Cost	Costs
Field Survey	Field Survey	per stream mile	3	\$3,200	\$9,600
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	3	\$300	\$900
Topographic Data Development	Topographic Data Development	per stream mile	25	\$200	\$5,000
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	25	\$50	\$1,250
Base Map Acquisition	Base Map Acquisition	per panel	51	\$150	\$7,650
Hydrologic Analysis	Hydrologic Analysis	per stream mile	8	\$1,800	\$14,400
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	8	\$400	\$3,200
Hydraulic Analysis	Hydraulic Analysis	per stream mile	5	\$3,000	\$15,000
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	5	\$500	\$2,500
Floodplain Mapping	Detailed Riverine - New Mapping	per stream mile	5	\$3,000	\$15,000
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	5	\$1,000	\$5,000
Floodplain Mapping	Detailed Riverine - Redelineation	per stream mile	17	\$1,300	\$22,100
	Independent QA/QC	per stream mile	17	\$150	\$2,550
Develop DFIRM Database & Graphics	Develop DFIRM	per panel	51	\$1,500	\$76,500
Produce Preliminary Map Products	Produce Preliminary Map Products	per panel	51	\$300	\$15,300
	Independent QA/QC	per panel	51	\$150	\$7,650
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Distribute Preliminary Map Products	per panel	51	\$100	\$5,100
Post-Preliminary Processing	Post-Preliminary Processing	per panel	51	\$1,000	\$51,000
				Project Cost	\$236,650
				QA/QC Cost	\$23,050
				Total Cost	\$259,700

Cost Variables	Quantity
Basemap Vector Panels	0
Basemap Aerial Photo Panels	51
Miles of New Non-Detail	149
Miles of New Limited Detail	0
Miles of Existing Topo for Limited Detail	0
Miles of New Detail	8
Miles of Existing Topo for New Detail	8
Miles of Redelineated Detail	17
Miles of Existing Topo for Redelineation	17
Miles of Non-Revised Detail	34
Number of Communities	7
Total Miles of Non- or Limited Detail	149
Total Miles of Detail	59
Total Miles of new BFES	8

total Approximate A Zone from USACE

Crystal Lake and Old Silver Lake Bed in S. Sioux City
2' contours available from S. Sioux City
redelineate effective AE and Jackson study
4' contours available from USACE
convert effective AE and incorporate MO River from USACE

148.4 approx Zone A
59.1 total miles detail
207.5 total miles



FEMA

March 19, 2007

Marlin Peterman
Acting General Manager
Papio-Missouri River Natural Resources District
8901 South 154th Street
Omaha, Nebraska 68138-3621

MAR 23 2007

**SUBJECT: Grant Solicitation -
FY2007 Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) 97.045**

Dear Mr. Peterman:

The U. S. Congress gave authority to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), to carry out the initiative described below.

**CTP Grant to develop and maintain flood hazard maps produced for the
National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).**

**Anticipated Funding: Funding is based on the complexity of the proposed
projects and will be determined upon review of proposed activities.**

Application Due by: April 2, 2007

We are pleased that you have indicated a commitment to working with FEMA and other partners for this important effort. As such, you are invited to submit an application to participate in this grant program. For FY07, FEMA encourages CTP Program recipients to begin the application process via Grants.gov. In order to begin applying for grants, an organization must go through a three-step process. The organization must complete the registration, obtain a registered username and password, and authorize an organization representative to use the application. Information about the process can be found at www.grants.gov. The Grants.gov Helpdesk can be reached by telephone at (800) 518-4726 and by e-mail at support@grants.gov. The Helpdesk hours are Monday through Friday, 7 am to 9 pm EST. Users should register as soon as possible to ensure that the application process goes smoothly. The e-Grants system includes all the necessary information to complete a full application.

You are advised that this request for federal assistance does not commit the government to fund your application or to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of an application. The government reserves the rights to accept, reject, or revise your application, if it is in the best interest of the government to do so.

If you have specific questions about completing the grant package, please contact the AR grants management staff at (816) 283-7084 or 7040.

Sincerely,

Richard Hamje
Regional Director

cc: R7-AR-AS
R7-FIM-CM

**FEMA Region VII Map Modernization
Papio-Missouri River Natural Resource District
Dakota County Time and Cost Summary**

	Dakota County, NE			FY 2007					FY 2008					FY 2009					FY 2010																				
Project Activity	Start	End	Cost	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S
Outreach	NTP 10/1/2007	NLT 09/30/10	N/C																																				
Perform Field Surveys	10/01/07	11/30/07	\$10,500																																				
Topographic Development	10/01/07	11/30/07	\$5,000																																				
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Topographic Data	12/01/07	12/31/07	\$1,250																																				
Acquire Base Map	10/01/07	11/30/07	\$7,650																																				
Perform Hydrologic Analyses	11/01/07	12/31/07	\$14,400																																				
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Hydrologic Analyses	01/01/08	01/31/08	\$3,200																																				
Perform Hydraulic Analyses	02/01/08	03/31/08	\$15,000																																				
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Hydraulic Analyses	04/01/08	04/30/08	\$2,500																																				
Perform Floodplain Mapping	05/01/08	07/31/08	\$37,100																																				
Perform Independent QA/QC Review of Floodplain Mapping	08/01/08	09/30/08	\$7,550																																				
Develop DFIRM Database	08/01/08	01/31/09	\$76,500																																				
Produce Preliminary Map Products	12/01/08	03/31/09	\$15,300																																				
Perform Independent QA/QC of Preliminary Map Products	04/01/09	05/31/09	\$7,650																																				
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	06/01/09	8/31/09	\$5,100																																				
Post-Preliminary Processing	09/01/09	NLT 09/30/10	\$51,000																																				
Total Cost			\$260,000																																				
MHIP Budget			\$215,000																																				
Diff.			\$45,000																																				

CTP Responsibility

NSP Responsibility

Notes:

NA = **Not Assigned** to any Mapping Partner (MP)
 NTP = **Notice to Proceed** date
 NLT = **No Later Than** the date indicated
 N/C = **No Cost** should be reported for this activity per the MAS.

All activities will have a start and end date. These dates will be used by the RMC for entry into the MIP workflow.

Date Prepared/Revised: 19-Apr-07